Buddhist Studies Review 20, 2 (2003)

1361:

The Censorate forbids monks to enter markets.

1386, 8th month:

Monks are forbidden to ride horses. Royal and state preceptors are allowed to ride a donkey.

1391:

Women are forbidden to go to temples.

Sem Vermeersch (Keimyung University, Daegu, S. Korea)

Abbreviations:

- KMC Koryô myônghyônjip, Sônggyungwan Ch'ulp'anbu ed., Seoul 1973
- KRS Koryôsa, Asea Munhwasa ed., Seoul 1972.
- KRSC Koryôsa chôryo, Asea Munhwasa ed., Seoul 1981.
- TMS Tongmunsôn, T'aehaksa ed., Seoul 1975.

THE PARAJIKA PRECEPTS FOR NUNS

ANN HEIRMAN

The Buddhist monastic discipline is based on a list of precepts, *prātimokṣa*, and on a set of formal procedures, *karmavācanā*. The precepts are introduced and commented upon in the *vibhaṅgas* (*bhikṣuvibhaṅga*, chapter for monks; *bhikṣunīvibhaṅga*, chapter for nuns). The first group of precepts mentioned in the *prātimokṣa* are the *pārājika* precepts. A violation of any of these leads to a definitive, lifetime exclusion from the Buddhist Community.

In all the Vinayas,¹ four $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ precepts are common to both monks and nuns²:

¹ Five Vinayas survive in a Chinese translation: the Mahīśāsakavinaya (T 1421, MśVin), the Mahāsāmghikavinaya (T 1425, MāVin), the Dharmaguptakavinaya (T 1428, DhVin), the Sarvāstivādavinaya (T 1435, SaVin) and the Mūlasarvāstivādavinaya (T 1428 up to and including T 1451, MūVin [because of its size, the Mūlasarvāstivādavinaya is not edited into one work but consists of a number of different works]). Closely related to the Mahāsāmghikavinaya is the *bhikṣunī-vibhaṅga (bhīvibh)* of the Mahāsāmghika-Lokottaravādins (Mā-L), a text written in a transitional language between Prākrit and Sanskrit. Apart from these texts, the Vinaya transmitted by the Theravāda school survives in the Pāli language. Finally, many Sanskrit fragments of Vinaya texts have been found.

² The Pāli chapter for nuns does not mention the precepts common to both monks and nuns. The first four *pār*: precepts for nuns are explained in the chapter for monks: *The Vinaya Pitakam* (Vin), ed. H.Oldenberg, PTS, London, 1964 ed., III, pp.1-109. They are, however, enumerated in the Bhikṣunīprātimokṣa (Bhīpra; Pāli, *bhikkhunī-pātimokkha*): M. Wijayaratna, *Les moniales bouddhistes, naissance et développement du monachisme féminin*, Paris 1991, p.172.

MśVin, bhikşuvibhanga (bhuvibh), pp.1a7-10a29; bhīvibh, pp.77b27-78a3; MāVin, bhuvibh, pp.227a7-262a11; bhīvibh, pp.514a25-515a16; bhīvibh of the Mā-L: Bhīkşuņī-Vinaya, Including Bhikşuņī-Prakīrņaka and a Summary of the Bhikşu-Prakîrņaka of the Ārya-Mahāsāmghika-Lokottaravādin (= BhīVin(Mā-L), ed. G. Roth, Patna 1970, pp.74-80, §§111-17; a bhuvibh is not extant; DhVin, bhuvibh, pp.568c6-579a9; bhīvibh, pp.714a6-715a5; the SaVin does not mention the precepts common to both monks and nuns. The first four pār.

- one may not indulge in sexual intercourse (*maithuna*),
- one may not steal (anything with a value of five coins or more),
- one may not take human life,
- one may not lie about one's spiritual achievements.

In every school, the set of precepts for nuns adopts the above four precepts for monks.³ Therefore, contrary to the precepts seen as peculiar to nuns, the Vinayas neither introduce them nor give any commentary.⁴ In addition all the Vinayas have four *pārājika* precepts considered to be peculiar to nuns:

 $1)^5$ a nun may not have physical contact with a man below the armpit and above the knee⁶

2)⁷ there are eight things that a nun may not do together with a man (all these things concern physical contact)

³ See also U. Hüsken, Die Vorschriften für die buddhistische Nonnengemeinde im Vinaya-Pitaka der Theravadin, Berlin 1997, pp.65-6, and p.66, n.118.

⁴ The MaVin, the *bhivibh* of the Ma-L and the DhVin, however, add some commentary peculiar to nuns to the first párājika. The MūVin, bhīvibh, contains a summary of the introduction and commentary of the bhuvibh for all four precepts.

Pali Vin IV, pp.211-15; MśVin, p.78a3-b1; MāVin, p.515a17-c24; bhīvibh of the Mā-L, BhīVin(Mā-L), pp.81-8; §§118-25; DhVin, pp.715a6-716a5; SaVin, pp. 302c16-303c1; MūVin, T1443, pp.929a28-930b5.

Pāli Vin IV, p.213: below the collarbone and above the knee; MśVin, p.78a27: below the rim of the hair of the head, above the knee and behind the elbow; MāVin, p.515c4: below the shoulder and above the knee; bhīvibh in BhīVin(Mā-L), p.84, §123 and DhVin, p.715b7: below the armpit and above the knee; SāVin, p.303a21-22: below the rim of the hair of the head and above the knee; MūVin, T 1443, p.930a9-10: below the eyes and above the knee.

Pāli Vin IV, pp.220-2 (in this Vinaya, this pār. precept is the last); MśVin, p.781b1-22; MāVin, pp.515c25-516b3; bhīvibh in BhīVin(Mā-L), pp.88-91, §\$126-30; DhVin, p.716a6-b23; SaVin, pp.303c2-304a6; MūVin, p.930b6-c27.

3)⁸ a nun may not conceal a grave offence of another nun

 $(4)^9$ a nun may not follow (=imitate/help) a monk suspended by the Order (samgha).

The aim of this paper is to investigate how these four precepts neculiar to nuns have come into being. We will show that three of them have been extracted from the Bhiksuprātimoksa (Bhupra) and that one precept is probably to be seen as an addition to preceding precepts. In this way the pārājikas have been doubled in accordance with the tradition that a nun has to observe twice as many precepts.

1. Physical contact¹¹

The first *pārājika* precept peculiar to nuns says that a nun may not have physical contact with a man. While for a nun, physical contact is a *pārājika*, for a monk it is a samghāvasesa,¹² specifically samghāvasesa 2: a monk who has physical contact with a woman

Cf. E. Waldschmidt, Bruchstücke des Bhiksuni-Prätimoksa der Sarvästivådins mit einer Darstellung der Überlieferung des Bhiksuni-Prätimoksa in den Verschiedenen Schulen, Leipzig 1926, p.1 (cf. Wei-shu [Dynastic History of the Wei, 386-557 CE], p.336b28-29); Z. Tsukamoto, A History of Early Chinese Buddhism From Its Introduction to the Death of Hui-yüan, translated from Japanese [Chūgoku Bukkyō Tsūshi, 1979] by L. Hurvitz, Tokyo, New York, San Francisco 1985, I, p.426 and pp.636-41, n.17 (cf. T 2145, Ch'u San-tsang Chi-chi [catalogue completed in 518 CE; containing much material from earlier catalogues that are now lost], pp.81b25-c2, 81c6-8 and 82a7-15 [notes written by Chu Fa-t'ai (320-387 CE)]).

precepts are explained in the bhuvibh, pp.1a8-13c19. They are enumerated in the Bhīpra, T 1437, p.479b29-c16; MūVin, bhuvibh, pp.627c23-680b12; T1443, bhivibh, pp.907c24-929a22.

⁸ Pāli Vin IV, pp.216-17 (in this Vinaya, this *pār*. precept is the second peculiar to nuns); MsVin, pp.78c19-79a10 (here, this is the last par. precept); MaVin, p.516b3-c24; bhīvibh in BhīVin(Mā-L), pp.91-6, §§131-3; DhVin, pp.716b24-717a21; SaVin, pp.304a7-305c21; MūVin, T 1443, pp.930c28-931a19.

Pāli Vin IV, pp.218-20 (in this Vin., this is the third par. precept peculiar to nuns); MśVin, p.78b23-c19 (here, this is the seventh par. precept); MāVin, pp.516c25-517b25; bhīvibh in BhīVin(Mā-L), pp.96-101, §§134-7; DhVin, pp.717a22-718a29; SaVin, pp.305c22-307a2; MūVin, T1443, p.931a20-b29.

kāyasamsarga (Waldschmidt, op. cit., p.72).

¹² Le. an offence leading to a temporary suspension from the Order.

commits a *saṃghāvaśeṣa*.¹³ The relation between these two precepts is explicitly referred to in the DhVin: the commentary following upon the *pārājika* for nuns says that a monk [in a similar case] commits a *saṃghāvaśeṣa*;¹⁴ the commentary following upon the *saṃghāvaśeṣa* for monks says that a nun [in a similar case] commits a *pārājika*.¹⁵

All the precepts are said to have been stipulated by the Buddha. He only lays down a precept if the circumstances impel him to do so. In the case of the above $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ for nuns and samghāvaśeṣa for monks, we twice have a very similar precept. It is very unlikely that the latter have been laid down independently, as a result of distinct circumstances. In all probability, one is based upon the other. Furthermore, it is a generally known fact that the Order of nuns only came into being when the Order of monks had already been established for some time. Therefore, the fifth $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ for nuns is most probably based upon the second śamghāvaśeṣa for monks.¹⁶

2. Eight things¹⁷

The second *pārājika* precept for nuns forbids them to do eight things together with a man.¹⁸ The order and content of the eight things, however, differ from Vinaya to Vinaya. The following chart displays which Vinaya enumerates which things and in which

Heirman- The Pārājika Precepts for Nuns

| order ¹⁹ | Pāli Vin | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| +hing ²⁰ | Pāli Vin | MśVin | MāVin | Mā-L | DhVin | SaVin | MūVin |
| T1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | / |
| T^{1} | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | / |
| T3 | 7 | / | / | / | 3 | 6 | / |
| T4 | 3 | 5 | / | / | 4 | 3 | / |
| T5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | / |
| T6 | / | 4 | / | / | 6 | 1 | / |
| T 7 | / | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 1 | / |
| T8 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | / |
| T9 | 6 | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| T10 | 8 | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| T11 | / | 7 | / | / | 1. | / | / |
| T12 | / | / | 1 | 1 | / | / | / |
| T13 | / | / | 5 | 5 | / | / | / |
| T14 | / | / | 6 | 6 | / | / | / |
| T15 | / | 1 | 1 | 1 | / | 7 | |
| T16 | / | / | - / | / | / | 8 | / |

¹⁹ Wc compare all the Vinayas with the DhVin, the most important of them in East Asia. Therefore, things 1 up to and including 8 coincide with the order and content of the eight things in this Vinaya.

T1: a man holds the hand of a nun; T2: a man grasps the robes of a nun; T3: a nun goes with a man to a secret place; T4: a nun stands together with a man; T5: a nun talks with a man; T6: a nun walks together with a man; T7: a nun and a man lcan against each other; T8: a nun makes appointments with a man; T9: a nun allows a man to approach (she is within reach of a man's hand); T10: a nun disposes her body (within reach of a man's hand); T11: a nun sits together with a man; T12: a nun stays within reach of a man's hand; T13:a nun is happy when a man comes; T14: a nun invites a man to sit down; T15: a nun waits till a man comes; T16: a nun offers her body just like a woman in white clothes (a lay woman at home), i.e. she does not protest against the intentions of a man when he reaches for her or embraces her; T17: a nun moves back and forth together with a man; T18: a nun makes fun together with a man; T19: a nun laughs together with a man; T20: a nun indicates a place (where they can meet each other); T21: a nun agrees on a time (to meet each other); T22: a nun wears a sign (to inform a man that she is ready to see him); T23: a nun allows a man to visit her as if he were her husband; T24: a nun lies down on a place where one can do inappropriate actions.

¹³ Pāli Vin III, p.120; MśVin, p.11a25-27; MāVin, p.265c20-22; DhVin, p.580 b28-29; SaVin, p.15a14-15; MūVin, T 1442, p.683b29-c2.

¹⁴ DhVin, p.716a1-2, literally says: *Bhikşu saṃghāvaśeşa; śikşumāņā, śrāmaņ-era, śrāmaņerī duşkṛta* (a monk *saṃghāvaśeṣa;* a probationer, a male novice and a female novice *duskṛta*). A *duskṛta*, lit.'a bad action', refers to a very light offence.

¹⁵ DhVin, p.581a20-21, literally says: *Bhikṣuṇī părājika; śikṣamāṇā, śrāmaṇera, śrāmaṇerī duṣkṛta.*

¹⁶ This implies that, with regard to physical contact, a woman is judged more severely than a man, certainly in respect of the region between the armpit and the knee (see n.6; - cf. Hüsken, *op. cit.*, pp.46-50, 67).

¹⁷ Pāli atthavatthukā (Waldschmidt, op.cit., p.76).

¹⁸ Only if she does all eight things does she commit a $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ (see also Hüsken, *op.cit.*, pp.60-5).

Buddhist Studies Review 20, 2 (2003)

| T 17 | 1 | 1 | / | / | / | 1 | 1 |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| T18 | / | 1 | / | / | / | 1 | 2 |
| T19 | / | / | / | 1 | 1 | / | 3 |
| T20 | / | / | / | / | / | / | 4 |
| T2 1 | 1 | 1 | / | 1 | 1 | / | 5 |
| T22 | / | 1 | 1 | 1 | / | / | 6 |
| T23 | / | / | / | / | 1 | / | 7 |
| T24 | / | / | / | / | / | / | 8 |
| | | | | | | | |

Although the eight things all concern physical contact, the relatively major differences are remarkable, the more so since it concerns one of the most important precepts. In view of the fact, however, that the Vinayas are very similar in respect of the contents of the pārājika precepts, but much less so in respect of the circumstances in which the offences arc committed or in respect of the mitigating circumstances and the exceptions that they allow, we can understand the difference concerning the 'eight things': rather than a new precept, the 'eight things' are a further extension of a preceding topic, already treated in the first and fifth $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ precepts.²² The different schools develop this extension in a relatively individual way. Extending a topic also explains why there is no corresponding precept for monks. The 'eight things' do not in fact constitute a separate precept, but are an enumeration of circumstances involving physical contact. Consequently, the DhVin gives no precise punishment for a monk, but only says that he has to be judged according to the circumstances.

3 Concealment of a grave offence²⁴

The third *pārājika* precept peculiar to nuns says that she may not conceal a grave offence of another nun. Except for the SaVin, each Vinaya explains a grave offence as a *pārājika*:

Heirman- The Pārājika Precepts for Nuns

- Pāli Vin IV, pp.216-17, pār. 2: a nun conceals a pār. of a nun
- MśVin, p.79a1-5, pār. 8: a nun conceals a pār. of a nun.
- MāVin, p.516b25-28, pār. 7: a nun conceals a grave offence of a nun; p.516b29-c1 = a $p\bar{a}r$.
- Bhīvibh of the Mā-L in BhīVin(Mā-L), pp.93-4, §132: a nun conceals a grave offence of a nun; = a $p\bar{a}r$.
- DhVin, pp.716c29-717a4, pār. 7: a nun conceals a pār. of a nun.
- SaVin, p.304a22-27, pār. 7: a nun conceals a grave offence of a nun; p.304a28-29 = a $p\bar{a}r$. or a sam.
- MūVin, T 1443, p.931a11-14, pār. 7: a nun conceals a pār. of a nun.

This *pārājika* precept is not an original one, since it is related to a *pācittika* precept²⁵ for monks appearing in all the Vinayas. This relation is explicitly referred to in the DhVin, namely in the commentary following upon the pārājika precept for nuns: a monk (who conceals the grave offence of a monk) commits a pācittika (p.717a16-17).²⁶ Except for the MsVin, all the Vinayas explain a grave offence as a *pārājika* or a samghāvasesa:

- Pāli Vin IV, p.127, pāc. 64 : a monk conceals a grave offence of a monk; $p.128 = a p \bar{a} r$. or a sam.
- MśVin, p.67a26-27, pac. 74: a monk conceals a grave offence of a monk, without any further commentary.
- MāVin, pp.376c29 p.377a1, pãc. 60: a monk conceals a grave offence of a monk; $p.377a1-2 = a p\bar{a}r$, or a sam.
- DhVin, p.679a5-6, pāc. 64: a monk conceals a grave offence of a monk; p.679a6-7 = a $p\bar{a}r$. or a sam.
- SaVin, p.102c16-17, pāc. 50: a monk conceals a grave offence of a monk; $p.102c18 = a p\bar{a}r$. or a sam.
- MūVin, T 1442, p.834a6-7, *pāc.* 50 : a monk conceals a grave offence of a monk ; $p.834a9-10 = a p \bar{a}r$. or a sam.

Given the above date, we can conclude that, generally speak-

²¹ See A.Heirman, The Discipline in Four Parts, Rules for Nuns according to the Dharmaguptakavinaya, Delhi 2002, Part I, pp.124-7. [See review on pp.211.]

Therefore, the Vinayas, except for the MūVin, barely introduce this precept. ²³ Cf.DhVin, p.716b12-13 (lit.): A bhiksu is in accordance with the offence that he has committed; śiksamāņā, śrāmaņera, śrāmaneri duskrta.

²⁴ dusthulāpraticchādana (Waldschmidt, op.cit. p.21).

I.e. a precept the violation of which leads to the expiation of the offence.

DhVin, p.717a16-17, literally says: Bhiksu pācittika; siksamāņā, srāmaņera, śrāmaņerī duskrta.

Buddhist Studies Review 20, 2 (2003)

ing, a nun commits a $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ if she conceals a $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ of another nun, while a monk commits a $p\bar{a}cittika$ if he conceals a $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ or a samghāvaśeṣa of another monk. This conclusion reveals two facts: first, for a nun, the concealment of a $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ offence is considered to be more serious than for a monk; secondly, the two precepts are not totally parallel: the *bhīvibh* does not mention the concealment of a saṃghāvaśeṣa. In this context, it is important to note that some schools have a second precept for nuns that concerns the concealment of an offence of another nun. Moreover, the DhVin, in the commentary following upon the $p\bar{a}cittika$ precept for monks, explicitly refers to this second precept for nuns: a nun (who conceals the grave offence of a nun) commits a $p\bar{a}cittika$ (p.679a14-15).²⁷ This precept is neither introduced nor commented upon⁴ and belongs to those precepts that are explicitly copied from the Bhupra:

- DhVin, p.735c25-26, *pāc.* 49 : a nun conceals a grave offence of a nun ; in the corresponding *pāc.* 64 of the *bhuvibh*, a grave offence is explained as a *pār.* or a *sam.*
- Bhīpra of the Sarvāstivādins, T 1437, p.483b14-15, pāc.
 35: a nun conceals a sam. of a nun.
- MūVin, T 1443, p.983c22-24, *pāc.* 35 : a nun conceals a grave offence of a nun ; p.983c25-26 = a *pār.* or a *sam.*

For nuns, the above *pācittika* precept creates an inconsistency in the set of precepts of the three above-mentioned schools:

- Dharmaguptaka school: *pār*. 7 says that a nun who conceals a *pār*. of a nun commits a *pār*.; *pāc*. 49 says that she commits a *pāc*. if she conceals a grave offence (*pār*. or *saṃ*.).
- Sarvāstivāda school: pār. 7 says that a nun who conceals a pār. or a sam. of a nun commits a pār.; pāc. 35 says that she commits a pāc if she conceals a sam.
- Múlasarvāstivāda School: pār. 7 says that a nun commits a pār. if she conceals a pār. of another nun; pāc. 35 says she commits a pāc. if she conceals a grave offence

(pār. or sam.).

The inconsistency is a result of the fact that one precept for monks (a monk commits a *pācittika* if he conceals a *pārājika* or a *saṃghāvaśeṣa* of another monk) has twice been copied into the set of precepts for nuns. However, when the precept was copied into the *pārājika* precepts for nuns, it was not copied in its totality: all the Vinayas, except for the SaVin, say that a nun only commits a *pārā-jika* if she conceals the *pārājika* of another nun. A *saṃghāvaśeṣa* is not mentioned. The concealment of a *saṃghāvaśeṣa* can thus very well be considered as a *pācittika* offence. Consequently, the irregularity in the three above-mentioned Vinayas can be removed in a very simple way:

- Dharmaguptaka school: in *bhīvibh*, *pāc*. 49, 'grave offence' should be replaced by *saṃghāvaśeṣa*: a nun commits a *pāc*. if she conceals a *saṃ*. of another nun; the commentary following the copied *bhuvibh*, *pāc*. 64 should specify that a nun commits a *pāc*. if she conceals the *saṃ*. of another nun, whereas she commits a *pār*. if she conceals a *pār*.
- Sarvāstivāda school: in accordance with the other schools, *bhīvibh*, *pār*. 7 should say that a nun commits a *pār*. only if she conceals a *pār*. of another nun (and not a *pār*. or a *sam*.).
- Mulasarvastivada school: *bhīvibh*, *pāc*. 35, 'grave offence' should be explained as a '*saṃghāvaśeṣa*': a nun commits a *pāc*. if she conceals a *saṃ*. (and not a *pār*. or a *saṃ*.) of another nun.

Finally, it is to be noted that the set of precepts for nuns contains yet another precept involving the concealment of an offence of another nun: all the Vinayas stipulate that nuns who stay in each other's company, who have bad habits together, who spread a bad reputation and who conceal each other's offences, commit a *samghāvaśesa*.²⁸ Since, however. the concealment of a

²⁷ DhVin, p.679a14-15, literally says: *Bhikṣuṇī pācittika; śikṣamāṇā, śrāmaņera, śrāmaņerī duṣkṛta.*

 ²⁸ Pāli Vin IV, p.239, sam. 9; MśVin, p.82a23-b6, sam. 14; MāVin, p.522c23-28, sam. 17; bhīvibh in BhīVin(Mā-L), p.155, §170, sam. 17; DhVin, pp.723c29-724
 a7, sam. 14; SaVin, p.312a29-b9, sam. 16; MūVin, T1443, p.938c2-13, sam. 15.

 $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ offence constitutes a $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ offence, the offences referred to in the samphāvaśeṣa precept for nuns can be of all kinds, except a $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$.²⁹ This is explicitly stipulated in the commentary following upon the samphāvaśeṣa precept in MśVin and DhVin.³⁰ Further, the difference between the samphāvaśeṣa precept and the less serious pācittika precept involving the concealment of an offence (a sam.) of a nun as mentioned in three Vinayas is that in the samphāvaśeṣa precept the concealment is only one of several bad actions that together constitute a samphāvašeṣa offence, while in the pācittika precept, it is the only bad action referred to.

4. To follow a suspended monk³¹

The fourth $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ precept peculiar to nuns says that a nun may not follow a suspended monk. 'To follow' is interpreted in two ways: the Pāli Vin understands it as to imitate the suspended one;³² the MśVin, MāVin, the *bhīvibh* of the Mā-L, the DhVin, SaVin and MūVin understand it as to give help to the suspended one.³³ Again, this *pārājika* precept is not an original one, because it is related to a *pācittika* precept for monks: a monk who follows a suspended monk commits a *pācittika*.³⁴ In the DhVin, the commentary following upon the *pārājika* precept for nuns adds that a monk (who follows a suspended nun) commits a *duskrta*.³⁵

³⁵ DhVin, p.718a23-24, literally says: *Bhikşu duşkrta; śikşamāņā, śrāmaņera, śrāmaņerī duşkrta.* – The earlier mentioned *pār.* 5 and 7 for nuns (in the

Besides the $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ precept, the set of precepts for nuns contains another precept related to the same item: a nun who follows a suspended nun commits a $p\bar{a}cittika$.³⁶ This precept is neither introduced nor commented upon⁴ and belongs to those precepts that are explicitly copied from the Bhupra, in this case from the precept saying that a monk who follows a suspended monk commits a $p\bar{a}cittika$. The relation between the latter two precepts is referred to in the MśVin and DhVin: the commentary following upon the $p\bar{a}cittika$ precept for monks stipulates that a nun (who follows a suspended nun) commits a $p\bar{a}cittika$.³⁷

As was the case for the previous $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ precept for nuns, we again see that one precept for monks has been inserted twice into the set of precepts for nuns, once as a precept considered to be peculiar to nuns, and once as a precept in common with monks: a monk who follows a suspended monk commits a $p\bar{a}cittika \approx$ a nun who follows a suspended monk commits a $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$; a nun who follows a suspended nun commits a $p\bar{a}cittika$.

DhVin), and their respectively corresponding *samp.* 2 and *pāc.* 64, reveal that *'bhikşu duşkṛta'* has to be understood as 'a bhikşu who follows a suspended nun commits a *duşkṛta': pār.* 5: a nun may not have physical contact with a <u>man</u> \approx *pāc.* 64: a monk may not have physical contact with a <u>woman</u> (see also n.14); *pār.* 7: a nun may not conceal a grave offence of another <u>nun</u> \approx *pāc.* 64: a monk may not conceal a grave offence of another <u>nun</u> \approx *pāc.* 64: a monk may not conceal a grave offence of another <u>monk</u> (see also n.26); by analogy: *pār.* 8: a nun may not follow a suspended <u>monk</u> \approx *bhikşu duşkṛta:* 'a bhikşu who follows a suspended <u>nun</u> commits a *duşkṛta'*.

²⁹ See also Hüsken, op. cit., pp.50-3, 99; Waldschmidt, op. cit., p.98, n.3.

³⁰ MśVin, p.82b6-8; DhVin, p.724a18-19.

 ³¹ utksiptānuvrtti (to follow a suspended one; - Waldschmidt, op. cit., p.78)
 ³² Pāli Vin IV, p.219.

³³ MśVin, p.78b26 (in the introductory story); MāVin, p.517b14-15; *bhīvibh* in BhīVin(Mā-L), p.100, §136; DhVin, p.717c25-28; SaVin, p.306a25; MūVin, T 1443, p.931b7-20.

³⁴ Pali Vin IV, p.137, *pāc.* 69; MśVin, p.57c3-5, *pāc.* 49; MāVin, pp.367c28-368a1, *pāc.* 46; SaVin, p.106c8-10, *pāc.* 56; MūVin, T 1442, p.841b17-18, *pāc.* 56: a monk follows a suspended monk; DhVin, p.683c2-4, *pāc.* 69: a monk follows a suspended one. – The *pāc.* precept for monks says that a monk has been suspended for not giving up wrong views; the *pār.* precept for nuns does not specify the reason for the suspension.

³⁶ Pāli Bhikkhunīpātimokkha, Wijayaratna, *op. cit.*, p.187, *pāc.* 147; MśVin, p. 86a3-5, *pāc.* 34; Bhīpra of the Mahāsāmghikas, T 1427, p.560c5-7, *pāc.* 36; Bhīpra of the Sarvāstivādins, T 1437, p.483c4-6, *pāc.* 41: a nun follows a suspended nun; DhVin, p.736a10-11, *pāc.* 53; MūVin, T 1443, p.987a6-7, *pāc.* 41: a nun follows a suspended one (the introductory story in MūVin says that a nun follows a monk; in this way, there is an overlap between *pāc.* 41 and *pār.* 8 that says that a nun who follows a monk commits a *pār.*).

MśVin, p.57c8-9, literally says: *Bhikṣuṇī*, it is the same; śikṣamāṇā, śrāmaņera, śrāmaņerī duṣkṛta. DhVin, p.683c16-17, literally says: *Bhikṣuņī pācittika;* śikṣamāṇā, śrāmaņera, śrāmaņerī duṣkṛta.

Heirman- The Pārājika Precepts for Nuns

Conclusion

The above data reveal that seven of the eight *pārājika* precepts for nuns are extracted from the set of precepts for monks. Four of these seven are *pārājika* precepts common to both monks and nuns: in the bhīvibh, they are neither introduced nor commented upon;⁴ in some *bhīvibh's*, they are not even mentioned.² One precept (physical contact) is copied from a samghāvaśesa precept for monks. Another (concealment of a grave offence) is extracted from a pācittika precept. In some schools, this pācittika precept has been adapted into the set for nuns a second time: to conceal a grave offence of another nun constitutes a pārājika; to conceal a grave offence of a monk constitutes a *pācittika*. The irregularities caused by the double appearance can be removed in a simple way. Lastly, one precept (to follow a suspended monk) is equally extracted from a *pācittika* precept. In addition, all the schools have adopted this *pācittika* precept a second time: to follow a suspended monk constitutes a pārājika; to follow a suspended nun constitutes a pācittika.

The remaining $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ precept for nuns (the eight things) is not to be regarded as a newly introduced precept, but rather as a commentary on a preceding item.

In this way, the $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ precepts have been doubled, in accordance with the tradition. It is to be noted, however, that, in spite of the tradition, in no Vinaya is the number of rules for nuns really twice the number of those for monks. In fact, it is only the case in two categories, namely the $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ precepts (four for monks; eight for nuns) and the *pratidesaniya* precepts³⁸ (four for monks; eight for nuns⁹). This may point to some symbolic value attached to the number 'eight'. In this context, it is noteworthy that in two other fundamental issues for nuns, the number eight appears: 1) When the Buddha allows the first women to be ordained, he lays down eight fundamental rules (*gurudharma*) to be strictly observed by the Order of nuns. These rules stipulate the position and duties of the Order of nuns towards the Order of monks.⁴⁰

2) As mentioned above, one of the $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ precepts for nuns concerns eight things (of contact between a man and a woman).

Moreover, the tradition that the number of precepts for nuns is twice the number of those for monks is probably not of early origin. It only appears in later (Chinese) works, not in the Vinayas themselves.¹⁰ The number 'cight', however, plays a prominent part in the rules for nuns and is even integrated into the account of the foundation of the nun's Order.

> Ann Heirman Ghent University

³⁸ These are precepts on minor offences that have to be confessed.

³⁹ One Vinaya, i.e. the later finalised MūVin, has 11 *pratideśaniya* precepts for nuns (see A. Heirman, '*Vinaya*: perpetuum mobile', *Études Asiatiques* LIII.4, 1999, pp.864-5.

⁴⁰ See further É. Nolot, *Règles de discipline des nonnes bouddhistes, le bhiksuņīvinaya de l'école Mahāsāmghika-Lokottaravādin*, Paris 1991, pp.9-58 aud 397-405; Hüsken, *op. cit.*, pp.345-60; Heirman, *The Discipline in Four Parts*, *op. cit.*, Part 1, pp.63-5.