Songs on the Road

Wandering Religious Poets in India, Tibet, and Japan



Edited by
Stefan Larsson & Kristoffer af Edholm



Songs on the Road

Wandering Religious Poets in India, Tibet, and Japan

Edited by Stefan Larsson & Kristoffer af Edholm



Published by Stockholm University Press Stockholm University SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden www.stockholmuniversitypress.se

Text © The Author(s) 2021 License CC-BY 4.0

Supporting Agency (funding): Vetetenskapsrådet (The Swedish Research Council) and Riksbankens Jubileumsfond Grant number: Vetetenskapsrådet, projekt, 2013-1421 ("Utanför klostrets murar") Riksbankens Jubileumsfond, projekt, P19-0419:1 ("Frihetssånger")

First published 2021

Cover Illustration: A Wandering Shaivite Ascetic with his Dog. Opaque watercolor and gold on paper. Northern India, Mughal dynasty. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:A_wandering_Shaivite_ascetic_with_his_dog.jpg
Cover License: Public Domain

Cover designed by Christina Lenz, Stockholm University Press

Stockholm Studies in Comparative Religion (Online) ISSN: 2002-4606

ISBN (Paperback): 978-91-7635-139-0 ISBN (EPUB): 978-91-7635-137-6 ISBN (Mobi): 978-91-7635-138-3 ISBN (PDF): 978-91-7635-136-9

DOI: https://doi.org/10.16993/bbi

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 444 Castro Street, Suite 900, Mountain View, California, 94041, USA. This license allows for copying any part of the work for personal and commercial use, providing author attribution is clearly stated.

Suggested citation:

Larsson, S. and af Edholm, K. (eds.). 2021. *Songs on the Road: Wandering Religious Poets in India, Tibet, and Japan*. Stockholm: Stockholm University Press. DOI: https://doi.org/10.16993/bbi. License: CC-BY 4.0.



To read the free, open access version of this book online, visit https://doi.org/10.16993/bbi or scan this QR code with your mobile device.

3. 'Wander Alone Like the Rhinoceros!': The Solitary, Itinerant Renouncer in Ancient Indian Gāthā-Poetry

Kristoffer af Edholm⁷⁸

Abstract

The ancient Indian $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ – a proverbial, succinct type of single-stanza poetry, often collected in thematic sets – became a favoured form of expression among groups of ascetics from the middle to the end of the r^{st} millennium BCE. This poetry – contrasting with the magico-ritual chant or *mantra* of the priest and the artistic poem of the aesthete – functions as (self-)instruction for the ascetic/renouncer. Examples include $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}s$ that exhort him to be as untiring as the Sun in its daily course, or to "wander alone like the rhinoceros". This chapter delineates the figure of the solitary, wandering renouncer in a selection of Brahmanic, Jaina, and Buddhist ascetic $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ -verses from that period. Particular attention is given to the use of solar and heroic imagery for describing the ideal renouncer, and how this relates to the real-life conditions of wandering renouncers.

I. The Song of the Wanderer

The legend of Rohita and Śunaḥśepa is a narrative in mixed prose and verse (a genre known as ākhyāna) found in a late

How to cite this book chapter:

af Edholm, K. 2021. 'Wander Alone Like the Rhinoceros!': The Solitary, Itinerant Renouncer in Ancient Indian Gāthā-Poetry. In: Larsson, S. and af Edholm, K. (eds.) Songs on the Road: Wandering Religious Poets in India, Tibet, and Japan. Pp. 35–66. Stockholm: Stockholm University Press. DOI: https://doi.org/10.16993/bbi.c. License: CC-BY 4.0.

⁷⁸ This chapter has benefitted from comments by Erik af Edholm and participants in the Indian Text Seminar at the Department of History of Religions, Stockholm University.

Vedic ritual text, the *Aitareyabrāhmaṇa* (ch. 7), which is meant for recitation during the royal consecration (*rājasūya*). Versions of the legend can also be found in other texts. ⁷⁹ The section of the *Aitareyabrāhmaṇa* in which this narrative is found appears to have been composed in Videha during the mid 1st millennium BCE – an area and a period connected to the rise of Buddhism and Jainism. The legend of Rohita and Śunaḥśepa includes a set of five aphoristic verses – *gāthās* – composed in the classical *anuṣṭubh-śloka*-metre. Together they form a kind of song, which, although it is untitled in Sanskrit, I will refer to as the "Song of the Wanderer"; it is rather unique in Vedic ritual literature. Before we look at how the Song of the Wanderer is best understood in context of similar thematic sets of *gāthā*-verses in early Buddhist and Jaina literature, a brief summary of the narrative of Indra and Rohita is necessary.

The story goes that there was once a king, Hariścandra, who had promised to sacrifice his only son, Rohita, to the deity (deva) Varuṇa. When Rohita was 'fit to bear arms' (sāmnāhuka), id est upon reaching manhood, the father decided to perform the sacrifice. Having heard the terrible news, Rohita grabbed his bow and arrows and escaped into the jungle, where he roamed about for a whole year. Meanwhile Hariścandra got sick and Rohita, hearing about his father's misfortune, decided to return home. As he came near the village, however, he was confronted by a brāhmaṇa – the deva Indra in disguise – who presented his message to Rohita in the form of a gāthā:

Great is the splendour of him who has exerted himself [śrānta-], so we have heard, o Rohita!

Wicked is he who stays among men.

Indra is the friend of the wandering man [carant-].80

Therefore, the *brāhmaṇa* exhorted Rohita to wander (*cara*). Putting his trust in him, Rohita continued his roaming for another year in

⁷⁹ Such as Śāṅkhayanaśrautasūtra 15.17–27.

⁸⁰ nānā śrāntāya śrīr astīti rohita śuśruma | pāpo nṛṣadvaro jana indra ic carataḥ sakhā || (Aitareyabrāhmaṇa 7.15.1, translation based on Olivelle 2007:175 (cf. Horsch 1966:87f.). Translations are mine, unless stated otherwise. Instead of nṛṣadvaro the Śānkhāyanaśrautasūtra has niṣadvaro 'he who sits'. Each verse is followed by the exhortation to wander (caraiveti).

the wilderness, away from other men. As he again approached the village, Indra appeared and told him:

Endowed with flowers are the shanks of the wanderer; his self grows and bears fruit.
All his sins are lying down, slain by exertion [śrama-] on the road.⁸¹

Rohita went on toiling, wandering for a third year, until Indra approached him with a new stanza:

The fortune of the sitting man sits, that of the standing man stands, that of the man who lies down lies down, the fortune of the wanderer [carata-] wanders.⁸²

A fourth year went by and Indra sang to him again, this time using the imagery of the four outcomes in the royal dice-game – Kṛta being the best outcome and Kali the worst:

Kali he becomes who is lying down, Dvāpara he who is rising, Tretā he who is standing erect, Kṛta he attains who is wandering [caran].⁸³

Rohita roamed for a fifth year, until Indra recited to him the final stanza, which presents the Sun as an ideal of endurance:

Wandering [*caran*], verily, he finds honey, wandering he finds the sweet fruit of the cluster-fig tree. Behold the pre-eminence of the Sun, who never wearies of wandering!⁸⁴

⁸¹ puṣpiṇyau carato jaṅghe bhūṣṇur ātmā phalagrahiḥ | śere 'sya sarve pāpmānaḥ śrameṇa prapathe hatāś || (7.15.2) Ātmā 'self' can also mean 'body', pra-patha- means 'on the (long) journey, on the wide path'.

⁸² āste bhaga āsīnasyordhvas tiṣṭhati tiṣṭhataḥ | śete nipadyamānasya carāti carato bhagaś || (7.15.3)

⁸³ kaliḥ śayāno bhavati samjihānas tu dvāparaḥ | uttiṣṭhaṃs tretā bhavati kṛtam sampadyate caraṃś || (7.15.4)

⁸⁴ caran vai madhu vindati caran svādum udumbaram | sūryasya paśya śremāṇaṃ yo na tandrayate caraṃś || 7.15.5. The Śānkhāyanaśrautasūtra has śramaṇa- 'toiling, exerting oneself' instead of śreman-.

With this *gāthā*, and the completion of the six years of wandering, the first section of the legend comes to an end.⁸⁵ Soon thereafter, we are told, Rohita found a substitute victim for himself, Śunaḥśepa, the son of a sylvan sage, whom he exchanged for a hundred cows.⁸⁶ Rohita returned to his father with the new sacrificial victim, which was accepted by Varuṇa. How Śunaḥśepa escaped death by means of his poetic skill is another story.

II. What is ascetic gāthā-poetry?

Before analyzing the Song of the Wanderer, a basic understanding of the nature of $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ -poetry is a prerequisite. In his seminal work Die vedische Gatha- und Śloka-Literatur (1966), Paul Horsch argues that gāthā-literature plays an important part in the transformation from Vedic to the early non-Vedic ascetic-renunciant (*śramana*) traditions during the middle to the end of the 1st millennium BCE.87 The gāthā-genre can be seen as an "alternative" literature, existing parallel to the strictly priestly one. In the earliest known Indo-Aryan poetry, the Rgvedasamhitā, the term gathā which originally simply meant 'verse' or 'song' – designates a liturgical composition;88 the same is true of its Avestan counterpart $g\bar{a}\vartheta\bar{a}$. In Vedic India, however, $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ soon came to refer solely to non-liturgical poetry, since the term mantra - a formula from the Vedic hymn-collections (Samhitās) – became synonymous with liturgical verse. It is only during the late Vedic period that one can speak of gāthās as constituting a separate, non-priestly literature, which is not represented in the hymn-collections yet recognized by theologians as common lore and sparsely quoted in their

⁸⁵ Śānkhāyanaśrautasūtra 15.19 adds a seventh year and a sixth verse, in the same style as the previous ones; the first half-line is taken from the fifth verse.

⁸⁶ It is not said how Rohita managed to acquire a hundred cows; he may have captured them in a raid, as befitting a young warrior (Weller 1956:86; Falk 1984).

⁸⁷ Horsch 1966:482.

⁸⁸ Horsch 1966:214-215.

⁸⁹ In Young Avestan the term is used mainly for referring to the five Old Avestan $G\bar{a}\vartheta\bar{a}s$, the 17 hymns or *Yasna*-chapters attributed to Zara ϑ uštra. The metrical characteristic of the $G\bar{a}\vartheta\bar{a}s$, in contrast to the early Vedic $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$, is their strophic form, each with a fixed number of verse-lines and syllables.

prosaic texts,⁹⁰ such as the *Aitareyabrāhmaṇa*'s legend of Rohita and Śunaḥśepa. Closely associated with $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ is the term śloka,⁹¹ which in the post-Vedic period came to designate a specific metric form – the much loved *anuṣṭubh* – regardless of its content.

From the late Vedic period onwards, $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ designates a verse of the proverbial, aphoristic type, in which the focus is on content, rather than on composition. When used within a narrative och didactic text, the function of the $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ is to strengthen or summarize a statement in the prose text. The $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ is a single-stanza poem; a verse is complete in itself, but it often appears in the texts together with other verses on the same subject, forming thematic sets or "songs", such as the "Song of the Wanderer" or the "Rhinoceros-*sutta*" (below).

Gāthās in Brahmanic, ⁹² Buddhist, and Jaina texts should be seen in context of the broader Indian proverbial-gnomic and didactic literature: sayings, aphorisms, maxims, and precepts originating in oral tradition. One of the subgenres of gāthā-literature, ascetic gāthās, became a favoured form of expression among groups of renouncers during the late Vedic and early post-Vedic periods. During this time, there was considerable cultural and intellectual exchange between various ascetic groups. They all seem to have made use of some sort of un-edited, pre-Aśokan, non-sectarian, floating *corpus* of sayings, similes, ideals, poetic and narrative material, which would explain the numerous shared expressions found in post-Vedic Brahmanic, early Jaina, and Buddhist ascetic

 $^{^{90}}$ Śatapathabrāhmaṇa 11.5.7.10 even mentions $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ as Vedic subject of study.

⁹¹ śloka 'verse of praise', literally 'that which is heard'. See Horsch 1966:1, 219, 223–229, 306; Gonda 1975:405–407.

⁹² In the term "Brahmanic" I include both the "Vedic Brahmanic" tradition (texts from the Vedic period, *circa* 1500–500 BCE) and the "neo-Brahmanic" tradition (texts from the post-Vedic period); both (in contrast to Jainism and Buddhism) regard the Vedas as authoritative and *bráhman* (the transcendent force that animates the ritual word and action; the Absolute) as an important concept. Thus, by "Brahmanic" I do not refer to the title *brāhmaṇa* ('relating to *bráhman*'), since this title is used to describe oneself both in Brahmanic texts (often in the sense of 'priest' or as member of a hereditary class) and in early Jaina and Buddhist texts (often in the sense of 'ascetic'). Cf. McGovern 2019.

poetry.⁹³ The *gāthā*s in the Song of the Wanderer are uniform, although they neither give the impression of being the work of a single poet, nor of being invented for the legend of Rohita and Śunaḥśepa.⁹⁴ Rather, they have sprung from the "well-spring" of ancient Indian ascetic poetry. This poetry is decidedly un-scholarly, concerning itself not with complex metaphysical theory, but with the ideal renouncer's way of life and his attitude to the world.

III. Solar and royal themes

The theme of kingship runs through much of the legend of Rohita and Śunaḥśepa and its $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}s$. The first $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ in the Song of the Wanderer promises $\dot{s}r\bar{\imath}$ – the 'splendour' associated with the prospering householder and with the righteous ruler – to the man who 'exerts' himself ($\sqrt{\dot{S}RAM}$), isolated from other men. And in the final stanza we have the word $\dot{s}reman$ 'pre-eminence, distinction', which is etymologically and semantically related to $\dot{s}r\bar{\imath}$. There is also the fourth stanza's use of imagery derived from the dice-game (which is played in the royal consecration, during which the legend of Rohita and Śunaḥśepa is recited), promising the winning throw (Kṛta) to the wanderer.

The theme of kingship is, moreover, seen in that Rohita himself is a prince or *kṣatriya* (member of the warrior aristocracy, ruler) and his guide none other than the *kṣatriya*-deity Indra, disguised as a *brāhmaṇa*. The latter term may in this case signify one who observes celibacy, since it was common among *śramaṇa*s to identify the ascetic as a *brāhmaṇa*. The statement "Indra is the friend of the wanderer" (*indra ic carataḥ sakhā*) in the first *gāthā*s recalls Indra as "the friend of *munis*" (*muni* 'mute, ascetic sage') in a much earlier text: *Rgvedasaṃhitā* 8.17.14. The name Rohita ('ruddy') is itself closely connected to kingship: in the 13th book

⁹³ See Charpentier 1921:43; Rau 1963; Horsch 1966:453–454; Bollée 1980; Nakamura 1983; Norman 1983:58–59, 63f., 78, 82. In the *Dhammapada* "small groups of verses, linked together by refrain, structure, or metre, clearly make small poems whose pre-existence is shown by the fact that they occur in the same form in other traditions as well." (Norman 1983:59)

⁹⁴ Horsch 1966:292.

⁹⁵ See McGovern 2019.

of the Śaunakīyasaṃhitā the title Rohita appears to designate a ruler ascending to power, as well as the ruddy, rising Sun, personified by the king. Verse 13.4.1 alludes to the movement of an unnamed subject, who goes to the heavenly light as Savitṛ, perhaps referring to the progression of the Sun, the king, and/or the itinerant ascetic.⁹⁶

A common idea in Vedic, epic, and later literature, is that both the ascetic and the king possess the solar characteristics of heat (tapas), fiery lustre (varcas, tejas), and splendour (śrī). The connections between Indra, the Sun, and itinerant ascetics (brahmacārin, keśin, muni, vrātya) have been explored by Moreno Dore (2015), in addition to whose observations I wish to point to the image of the solitary wandering Sun in two more passages. First, the episode from the "Book of the Forest" in the Mahābhārata, in which the deva Dharma, in disguise of a yakṣa ("nature spirit"), presents riddles to the illustrious king Yudhiṣṭhira, who has gone into exile, in order to test the king's wisdom. One of the riddles goes: "What is it that travels alone, who is reborn, what is the remedy against cold, and what is the great sowing(-ground)?" Yudhiṣṭhira answers correctly:

The Sun wanders alone [eka- vicarati], the Moon is reborn, fire is the remedy against cold, Earth is the great sowing(-ground).⁹⁷

The dialogue continues to praise the kind of ideals found in ascetic texts. ⁹⁸ Note that, as in the legend of Rohita and Śunaḥśepa, we are dealing with a royal personage in forest exile (a recurring motif in ancient Indian literature): Yudhiṣṭhira. The royal context of the *yakṣa*'s riddle is even more obvious when we understand that its origin is to be sought in the Vedic royal horse-sacrifice (*aśvamedha*). In the riddle-contest (*brahmodya*) of this grand

⁹⁶ Dore 2015:49.

⁹⁷ sūrya eko vicarati candramā jāyate punaḥ | agnir himasya bhaiṣajyam bhūmir āvapanam mahat || (Mahābhārata 3.297.47) Throughout this chapter references to the Mahābhārata is to the Critical edition (Pune), if not stated otherwise.

⁹⁸ *Mahābhārata* 3.297.53, 55, 57.

ritual the *brahmán*-priest puts his question to the *hotṛ*-priest, who provides the same answer as Yudhiṣṭhira does in the epic.⁹⁹

The message of the Song of the Wanderer, as we have seen, is that one should seek the fortune, essence, and fruit that come from a roaming lifestyle. Rohita lives as a wanderer temporarily, and does this within a sacrificial context, which is typical of the Vedic ritual texts, whereas the later Brahmanic and non-Vedic gāthās, discussed below, express the ideal of the *permanent* wandering. Patrick Olivelle suggests that the Song of the Wanderer echoes the earlier (semi-)nomadism of Indo-Aryan tribes, who would alternate between life on the move (yoga 'the yoke, harnessing', war and raiding) and the peaceful, settled life (ksema). 100 Roaming outside human settlement - as hunter, warrior, raider, or ascetic wanderer – was attributed greater spiritual, social, and economic value than living among men. 101 Both Olivelle and Horsch 102 have suggested that the Song of the Wanderer points forward to the ideal of wandering mendicants or ascetics. The terms used by Indra to urge Rohita to exert himself (*śrānta*, *śrama*, *śramana*) derive from the verbal root $(\sqrt{)}$ $\acute{S}RAM$ 'to toil, exert oneself', which is used for the disciplined life of a Vedic sacrificer during his ritual of initiation, and is found in the terms śramana 'ascetic, mendicant' and āśrama 'hermitage, way of life'.

Thus, in the mentioned passages, the Sun is characterized by its solitary procession: (vi)carati from \sqrt{CAR} , which is used both in the sense 'to wander, go', and 'to live (in a certain way), follow a discipline'. The same root is used in the Song of the Wanderer

⁹⁹ súrya ekākî carati candrámā jāyate púnaḥ l agnír dhimásya bheṣajáṃ bhúmir āvápanaṃ mahát ll (Vājasaneyisaṃhitā 23.9–10, 45–46) Similarly, but in prose, in Taittirīyabrāhmaṇa 3.9.5: asau vá ādityá ekākî carati téja evá 'varundhe "It is yonder Sun, indeed, that moves alone [ekākī \sqrt{CAR}]. (Consequently) it is fiery energy he (= the sacrificer) thus obtains." (translation by Dumont 1948:481) And Śatapathabrāhmaṇa 13.2.6.10: asau vá ādityá ekākī caraty eṣá brahmavarcasám brahmavarcasám evāsmiṃs tád dhattaḥ "It is the Sun that walks alone [ekākī \sqrt{CAR}]. This is bráhman-lustre; the two (priests) bestow bráhman-lustre on him (= the sacrificer)." Indra, identified with the Sun, is wide-striding in Ŗgvedasaṃhitā 10.29.4 (Śaunakīyasaṃhitā 20.76.4).

¹⁰⁰ Olivelle 2007:176-177.

¹⁰¹ Olivelle 2007:175-176, 185.

¹⁰² Horsch 1966:88.

(cara, carataḥ, caran). A wandering lifestyle is attributed to various ascetic figures already in early and middle Vedic texts, but in contrast to the later ascetic gāthā-literature, asceticism in early Vedic texts is not connected to a specific genre of (proverbial) poetry. Instead, earlier Vedic songs about ascetics typically take the form of laudatory texts full of cryptic references and expressions. One such hymn is that of the celibate student or brahmacārin in the Śaunakīyasaṃhitā:

The *brahmacārin* wanders $[\sqrt{CAR}]$, stirring both worlds; in him the *deva*s are one-minded. He has firmly established earth and heaven; he satisfies his teacher with the heat of asceticism $[t\acute{a}pas-]$. ¹⁰³

Interestingly, in context of the link between Rohita and Indra in the later legend, the *brahmacārin* is associated, or even identified, with Indra. This is because of the *brahmacārin*'s heroic qualities, ¹⁰⁴ but also because Indra roams alone: in *Rgvedasaṃhitā* 3.30.4 we learn that Indra goes about alone ($eka + \sqrt{CAR}$), smashing obstacles. Indra is also designated as eka in passages which stress his heroism and strength: ¹⁰⁵ ekavīra 'lone hero' is an epithet given to him. ¹⁰⁶ In *Rgvedasaṃhitā* 1.165.3 the Maruts, who always appear as a group, ask Indra why he travels alone. In the *Jaiminīyabrāhmaṇa*, in the chapter on the *agnihotra*, the epithet ekavīra is attributed to the Sun, which in turn is identified with Indra: "He [= the Sun] is the lone hero [eka-vīra-], who burns/shines [tapati] here; he is Indra..."

¹⁰³ brahmacārīṣṇaṃś carati ródasī ubhé tásmin devāḥ sáṃmanaso bhavanti | sá dādhāra pṛthivīṃ dívaṃ ca sá ācāryàṃ tápasā piparti || (Śaunakīyasaṃhitā II.5.I, translation based on Griffith 1896:68; cf. verse 6, Dore 2015:47–48; Rgvedasaṃhitā 10.109.5).

The brahmacārin is Indra's disciple (Śatapathabrāhmaṇa 11.5.4.2; Pāraskaragṛhyasūtra 2.2.7). The brahmacārin, who is Indra, has shattered the demons (Śaunakīyasaṃhitā 11.5.7, 16; cf. Rgvedasaṃhitā 4.12.2).

¹⁰⁵ Rgvedasamhitā 1.176.2; 8.15.3; 8.16.8; 8.90.5.

¹⁰⁶ Rgvedasamhitā 10.103.1.

¹⁰⁷ sa eṣa vā eko vīro ya eṣa tapaty eṣa indra... (Jaiminīyabrāhmaṇa 1.8, cf. Bodewitz's translation 1973:36–37). On Indra as the Sun see Śatapathabrāhmaṇa 1.6.4.18, 2.3.4.12, 3.4.2.15, 4.5.5.7, 4.5.9.4, 4.6.7.11, 8.5.3.2.

Scholars have argued that the Vedic *brahmacārin* 'he who wanders/lives with *brahman*' is a forerunner of the later renouncer. Two common terms for 'renouncer', *parivrājaka* and *pravrājita*, literally mean 'he who wanders about' and 'he who goes forth (into homelessness)'. Originally, renouncers would wander about constantly, except during the monsoon, as the heavy rains made travelling too difficult. The *ideal* in Brahmanic renunciant traditions was to travel alone, though it is questionable if this was actually common practise (we will return to this below). This ideal is expressed in an *anuṣṭubh-śloka* from the *Manusmṛti*, a version of which also appears in the *Mahābhārata*:

Verily, he should always wander alone [$eka \sqrt{CAR}$], without any companion, in order to achieve success. Recognizing that success is for the solitary, he will not forsake (anyone) and he will not be forsaken (by anyone).¹¹⁰

Another stanza from the Mahābhārata comes very close:

Verily, he should wander alone [$eka \sqrt{CAR}$] according to dharma, for in dharma there is no companionship. If he confirms to this rule absolutely, what can a companion do?¹¹¹

The same ideal is found in the *Saṃnyāsopaniṣads*, a collection of later Brahmanic texts on renunciation. The *Nāradaparivrājakopaniṣad*,

¹⁰⁸ Oberlies 1997.

¹⁰⁹ Olivelle 1974:1.

saṃpaśyan na jahāti na hīyate || (Manusmṛti 6.42, translation based on Olivelle 2005:150; cf. Shiraishi 1996:103–104, 125–126). Identical to Mahābhārata 12.237.4cd-5b except 5a which has ekaś carati yaḥ paśyan "he wanders alone, who is seeing (that success comes to the solitary)" (cf. 12.237.7, 22). Similarly Mahābhārata 12.308.28 when Janaka says: "Free from passion, I wander/live alone, standing on the highest path" (muktarāgaś carāmy ekaḥ pade paramake sthitaḥ). According to 12.234.9 one should "wander alone in the forest" (aranye vicaraikākī). See also 1.86.5 below, which is almost identical with the Jaina Uttarajjhayana 15.16.

¹¹¹ eka eva cared dharmam nāsti dharme sahāyatā l kevalam vidhim āsādya sahāyah kim karişyati ll (Mahābhārata 12.186.31)

for example, proclaims that "alone, indeed, shall a mendicant wander". It And according to the *Pañcamāśramavidhi*, a man who decides to become a skyclad ascetic must abandon absolutely everything and be prepared to be regarded as a madman by society:

Let him wander alone [$ek\bar{a}k\bar{\imath}$ sam- \sqrt{CAR}] on the Earth, as if he were a fool, a lunatic, or a goblin.¹¹³

One can also mention a quote in the IIth century "Collection of Ascetic Laws" (*Yatidharmasamuccaya*) by Yādava, just to illustrate the consistency of this ideal in Brahmanic ascetic traditions from different periods in time. A verse quoted from Medhātithi, in which the ascetic is compared with the constant and unhindered movement of the Sun, echoes the ideal found in ancient texts:

(The wandering ascetic) is seen in one place in the morning, in another place at noon, and in yet another at sunset. Like the Sun, he should remain without a home and free from attachment.¹¹⁴

The same text, quoting Yama:

Totally unfettered, let him always wander alone [$ek\bar{a}k\bar{\imath}vi$ - \sqrt{CAR}], without a companion; for when a man wanders alone his path becomes smooth, but it thwarts him when he does not.¹¹⁵

To sum up: the notion of the Sun as a prototypical solitary wanderer, which we find in the Song of the Wanderer, ¹¹⁶ goes back

¹¹² eka eva ... caret ... ekaḥ cared bhikṣuḥ (Nāradaparivrājakopaniṣad ch. 7, Olivelle 1992:215).

¹¹³ ekākī saṃcared bhūmau bālonmattapiśācavat || (Pañcamāśramavidhi verse 37cd, translation by Olivelle 2012:261)

¹¹⁴ ādite 'nyatra madhyāhne anyatrāstamite ravau | dṛśyate tv aniketaḥ syāt sūryavatsangavarjitaḥ || (Yatidharmasamuccaya 9.2, translation based on Olivelle 1995:150)

¹¹⁵ ekākī vicaren nityam muktātmā tvasahāyakah | ekasya hi samaḥ panthā jāyate 'nyatra jīyate || (Yatidharmasamuccaya 9.19, translation based on Olivelle 1995:151)

The singleness of the Sun is implicit in Indra's $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$; Rohita wanders about alone, and the first stanza speaks about the fault of staying with other men ($p\bar{a}po\ nrsadvaro$).

to the earlier Vedic tradition and is continued in the $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$. It makes its appearance in a royal context (the legend of Rohita and Śunaḥśepa told in the $r\bar{a}jas\bar{u}ya$, the riddle-contest in the aśva-medha, and the trial of king Yudhisthira), which is understandable when we know that the Sun is also a prototypical lone hero ($ekav\bar{v}ra$) and ruler. The combination of $eka + \sqrt{CAR}$ 'to wander alone', which is used for the Sun in the riddle-verse, also applies to the early Vedic Indra and to the Brahmanic renouncer.

IV. The solitary Jaina hero

As we turn to the Jaina material, we find that $g\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ (the Prakrit equivalent of $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$) is typically used to designate popular verses with religious content, more seldom for the ascetic poetry found in Śvetāmbara canonical texts.¹¹⁷ Although the term $g\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ ($g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$) in general has been superseded by the term sutta (Sanskrit $s\bar{u}tra$), the type of Jaina literature dealt with below is, with regard to both content and style, fully in line with the definition of ascetic $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ -poetry given above.

An important text for our understanding of the early Jaina mendicant ideal is the 15th chapter of the *Uttarajjhayaṇa*, which Jarl Charpentier refers to as a "Schatzkammer altjainistischer Spruch- und Legendenpoesie".¹¹⁸ It consists of sixteen stanzas on the perfect mendicant (*bhikkhu*).¹¹⁹ His life is one of simplicity and harshness: he must endure heat and cold, gadflies and mosquitos;¹²⁰ he must accept any kind of tasty or tasteless food, even from the household of a low-status donor.¹²¹ The forest, through which the mendicant fares, is filled with dangerous beasts and fearful sounds, but he shall pay no attention to any of that.¹²² The 7th and 8th stanzas, listing practises that are forbidden to the Jaina

¹¹⁷ Horsch 1966:218. In *Uttarajjhayaṇa* 31.13 the first book of the *Sūyagaḍa* is known as "The Sixteen Gāthās", since they form sixteen chapters of verses (except the last chapter).

¹¹⁸ Charpentier 1910:62.

¹¹⁹ Alsdorf 1963:115f.

¹²⁰ Uttarajjhayaṇa 15.4, cf. 21.18. This is very similar to verse 52 of Khaggavisāṇasutta (see below). Cf. Sūyagaḍa [1.]2.2.14–16 and Āyāra 6.(3.)61, 7.(7.)111, 8.(3.)1f.

¹²¹ Uttarajjhayaṇa 15.12-13.

¹²² Uttarajjhayaṇa 15.14.

mendicant, are illustrative of some of the professions associated with various types of vagabonds, perhaps practised by some (fake) ascetics: healing, divination, and so on.¹²³ Another "profession" which a Jaina mendicant shall not engage in is that of 'one who praises with verses',¹²⁴ meaning a laudatory poet or bard, who travels from one patron or town to another (like the Buddhist convert Vangīsa below). The final verse, praising solitary (Prakrit *ega-*) itinerant mendicancy,¹²⁵ is characteristic of the sentiment of this *sutta*:

He who does not make his living from a craft, who is without home and friends, having conquered his senses, free from everything, with minimal defilement, eating little, having forsaken (his) home, wandering alone $[ega-\sqrt{CAR}]$ – he is a mendicant. 126

That verse is almost identical with *Mahābhārata* 1.86.5.¹²⁷ The following two *anuṣṭubh*-verses, from other chapters in the *Uttarajihayana*, are in the same vein:

Verily, he should wander about alone [ega eva \sqrt{CAR}], living on allowed food, overcoming all troubles, in a village, town, market-place, or capital.¹²⁸

¹²³ *Uttarajjhayaṇa* 15.7, 20.45; Zysk 1998:27f.; McGovern 2019:153–155. Similar passages are found in *Suttanipāta* 927 and *Manusmṛti* 6.50.

¹²⁴ *Uttarajjhayaṇa* 15.9. Cf. *Mahābhārata* 12.234.9 which says that the solitary ascetic should not praise anyone (*niḥstutir*).

¹²⁵ See also *Uttarajjhayaṇa* 1.16.5 and *Sūyagaḍa* [1.]4.2.1.

¹²⁶ asippa-jīvī agīhe amitte | ji'indie savvao vippamukke | aṇu-kkasāī lahu-appa-bhakkhe | ceccā gihaṃ egacare sa bhikkhū || (Uttarajjhayaṇa 15.16, text from Alsdorf 1963:119; cf. Tatia & Kumar 1981:90)

¹²⁷ aśilpajīvī nagrhaś ca nityam jitendriyaḥ sarvato vipramuktaḥ l anokasārī laghur alpacāraś caran deśān ekacaraḥ sa bhikṣuḥ ll "He who lives off no craft, is always homeless, has conquered his senses, is entirely liberated, does not frequent houses, travels lightly on short journeys, and wanders alone [ekacaraḥ] through the countries – he is a mendicant [bhikṣu-]." (Mahābhārata 1.86.5)

¹²⁸ ega eva care lāḍhe abhibhūya parīsahe | gāme vā nagare vāvi nigame vā rāyahāṇie || (Uttarajjhayaṇa 2.9, translation based on Jacobi 1895:12). The formula eka eva √CAR in this verse is found also in the verses from Manusmṛti and Nāradaparivrājakopaniṣad quoted above.

He should sit down, alone, in a burial place, a deserted home, or at the root of a tree, without moving, and he should not drive away anyone.¹²⁹

Besides the theme of solitary wandering, there is use of royal imagery in Jaina ascetic texts, akin to the that of the Vedic tradition. The *bhikkhu* is compared with royal beings like the elephant, the lion, the Sun, Sakka (Indra), and so on. 130 Like a war-elephant at the frontline crushes the enemy, so does the heroic ascetic in self-control conquer his inner foe. 131 The renouncer's solitary lifestyle (egacariyā)132 is known as jinakalpa 'the practise of the conqueror', 133 and has its exemplum in the 'conqueror' (Sanskrit jina) Mahāvīra Vardhamāna - the title mahāvīra means 'great hero' - who was the most recent tīrthankara ('ford-maker', one who re-establishes the Jaina path of liberation by crossing the river/ocean of samsāra). We read in the $\bar{A}y\bar{a}ra$ and the $S\bar{u}yagada$ that when Mahāvīra 'went forth' (pavvaie) as a renouncer he lived alone $(ega-\sqrt{CAR})$. 134 It was only after years of solitary wandering, and after attaining supreme enlightenment in meditation, that Mahāvīra began to surround himself with disciples, though the Sūyagada is keen to point out that his inner solitude was always kept intact.135

A passage in a later text, the *Jiṇacaritta* or 'Biography of the Heroes', based on older material, describes Mahāvīra as being, among other things, "alone like the rhinoceros" (*khaggivisāṇaṃ va ega-jae* – see the Buddhist *gāthās* below), "effulgent like the Sun" (*sūro iva ditta-tee*), free as the bird in the air, valorous like the male elephant, and his senses drawn in like the turtle's limbs – all of them common similes in ascetic literature. This is first

¹²⁹ susāṇe sunnagāre vā rukkhamūle va egao | akukkuo nisīejjā na ya vittāsae paraṃ || (Uttarajjhayaṇa 2.10, translation based on Jacobi 1895:12). Cf. 29.39.

¹³⁰ Uttarajjhayana 11.16f.; cf. Jinacaritta 118 below.

¹³¹ Uttarajjhayana 2.6–10.

¹³² Āyāra 6.(2.)52; cf. Sūyagaḍa [1.]2.2.12, 1.9.30, 1.10.23.

¹³³ Tatia & Kumar 1981:59-69, 78-79; Caillat 2003:37.

¹³⁴ Āyāra 9.(2.)11, cf. 9.(1.)6, 5.(1.)17.

¹³⁵ According to Jacobi 1895, *Sūyagaḍa* [2.]6.3 relates that the ascetic Makkhali Gōśāla criticized Mahāvīra for this, but was corrected by the Jaina Ārdraka: Mahāvīra is really always single and alone (though surrounded by followers).

stated in prose and then, in some manuscripts, summarized in two $g\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ -verses.¹³⁶ The extreme brevity of the two $g\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ s make them, unlike most $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ s, difficult to understand without prior knowledge of what each object represents (given by the oral tradition that is reflected, we must assume, in the prose¹³⁷):

Vessel, shell, soul, sky, wind, and autumnal water; lotus-leaf, turtle, bird, rhinoceros, and *bhāruṇḍa*-bird.

Elephant, bull, lion, king of mountains, and unshaken ocean; Moon, Sun, gold, Earth, and well-kindled fire. 138

A corresponding enumeration in prose is found in the *Ovavāiya*, but here it refers to the mendicants at the time of Mahāvīra: they were "solitary like the rhinoceros" (*khaggi-visāṇaṃ va egajāyā*), "effulgent like the Sun" (*sūro iva ditta-teyā*), and so on.¹³⁹ In the *Sūyagaḍa*, likewise, Mahāvīra is likened to the Sun and to fire:

Omniscient, wandering about $[\sqrt{CAR}]$ without a home, crossing the river (of $sams\bar{a}ra$), wise, and of unlimited perception, the highest one (= Mahāvīra) glows [/becomes heated] like the Sun,

and he illuminates the darkness like a brilliant fire. 140

The image of the ascetic as glowing or becoming heated through asceticism (\sqrt{TAP}), like the Sun, can be compared with the solar qualities of the Vedic wandering ascetics.

¹³⁶ *imesim payāṇaṃ doṇṇi saṃgahaṇa-gāhā*o "Of these words there are two summarizing verses." (*Jiṇacaritta* 118) See Jacobi 1879:28–29, 63.

¹³⁷ Cf. the enumeration of representative objects given in a śloka by sage Bodhya in *Mahābhārata* 12.171.61, which is explained in another six ślokas in the Bombay edition (12.178.8–13).

¹³⁸ kaṃse saṃkhe jīve gagaṇe vāū ya saraya-salile ya | pukkhara-patte kumme vihage khagge ya bhāruṃde || kumjara vasabhe sīhe naga-rāyā ceva sāgaram akhobhe | caṃde sūre kaṇage vasuṃdharā ceva suhuya-huyavahe || (Jiṇacaritta 118, translation based on Jacobi 1884:261)

¹³⁹ Ovavāiya 27. The order of appearance of the similes differs from the *Jiṇacaritta*, and the mirror is added.

¹⁴⁰ se bhūipanne aṇieacārī | ohaṃtare dhīre aṇantacakkhū | aṇuttaraṃ tappai sūrie vā | vairoyaṇinde va tamaṃ pagāse || (Sūyagaḍa [1.]6.6, translation based on Jacobi 1895:288). Cf. Jaiminīyabrāhmaṇa 1.8 above. Buddha Śākyamuni too is compared with, and associated with, the Sun in early texts; see Revire 2017.

V. Pali verses on wandering alone like the rhinoceros

Finally, as we come to the early Buddhist literature, it becomes clear that gāthā-poetry was important to the sangha founded by Buddha Śākvamuni. The Dhammabada and many verses in the Suttanipāta, Itivuttaka, Udāna, Theragāthā, 141 and the Jātakastanzas, are gāthā-literature. Among these, the Suttanipāta's Khaggavisānasutta, Uragasutta, and Munisutta (possibly identical with the *Munigāthā* referred to in the Aśoka inscription¹⁴²) are of particular interest, since they outline the ideal renouncer. According to Upali Sramon (2011), Pali literature makes a basic distinction between $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ as authoritative verse-composition, and $k\bar{a}vevya$ (Sanskrit $k\bar{a}vva$) as mere artistic poetry, the making of poems or poetry as business. The latter is a forbidden art for the monk¹⁴³ (similar to the arts forbidden for a Jaina mendicant, as we saw above), whereas the former (gāthās) need not have any poetic qualities, as they are only versified for memorisation. 144 In this context one can mention the verses attributed to Vangīsa, who, prior to becoming a wandering ascetic of Śākvamuni's order, was a wandering artistic poet:

Intoxicated with skill in the poetic art, formerly we wandered from village to village, from town to town.

Then we saw the Awakened One, gone to the far shore beyond all (worldly conditioned) phenomena. 145

The prevalent metre of the Pali canon is the *anuṭṭubha* (Sanskrit *anuṣṭubh*) or *siloka* (*śloka*), "which has a great deal of flexibility, and seems to be equally well adapted to aphorism, question

¹⁴¹ Some verses in the *Theragāthās* and *Therīgāthās* are rather artistic and lyrical – the authors took over imagery and conventions of contemporary *kāvya* and secular poetry – and therefore cannot be considered ascetic *gāthā*-literature (cf. Norman 1983:75–76; Lienhard 1984a:76–77).

¹⁴² Calcutta-Bhairat inscription (*Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum* 1925:173); Jayawickrama 1977:31f.

¹⁴³ Dīghanikāya 1.125, et cetera.

¹⁴⁴ Upali Sramon 2011:21.

¹⁴⁵ kāveyyamattā vicarimha pubbe gāmā gāmaṃ purā puraṃ lath' addasāmi sambuddhaṃ sabbadhammāna pāraguṃ || (Theragāthā 1253, translation by Ireland 1997; cf. Upali Sramon 2012:25, 27).

and answer, narrative, and epic."¹⁴⁶ It has been argued that the anthology *Suttanipāta* is as close to the teachings of Śākyamuni himself as we can get. The following points suggest that the text is "archaic", according to Nāgapriya (2014) – and, I would add, belongs to ascetic poetry: Relative absence of formulas; (re)definition of terms from the existing socio-religious discourse, such as *brāhmaṇa*;¹⁴⁷ emphasis is on behaviour, rather than metaphysics (virtues and qualities of the renouncer, rather than doctrine); and relative absence of systematized teachings. Some Pali *suttas* use refrain as "organizing principle", mainly for mnemonic reasons, but are free from repetition of systematized doctrines and enumerations typical of later texts.¹⁴⁸

The ideal of solitary wandering or a solitary lifestyle ($ekacariy\bar{a}$)¹⁴⁹ is best expressed in the $Khaggavis\bar{a}nasutta$ or "Rhinoceros-sutta" ($Suttanip\bar{a}ta$ 1.3 or verses 35–75), also found in Gandhari¹⁵⁰ and Buddhist Hybrid-Sanskrit (the $Khaggavis\bar{a}nag\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ in the $Mah\bar{a}vastu$). It has been suggested that the $Khaggavis\bar{a}nasutta$ was originally an independent, and perhaps not specifically Buddhist, text.¹⁵¹ The refrain encourages the renouncer to "wander alone like the rhinoceros" ($eko\ care\ khaggavis\bar{a}nakappo$)¹⁵², a simile also taken up by the Jainas, as we saw. This agrees with other animal exempla: the lion wandering alone ($s\bar{s}ham\ ...\ eka-\sqrt{CAR}$)¹⁵³, or

¹⁴⁶ Ānandajoti 2013:17. As mentioned earlier, the *ślokalanuṣṭubh* is closely connected to $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ -literature.

¹⁴⁷ McGovern 2019 argues that this should not be seen as a re-definition, since ascetics in the age of Śākyamuni had as much, or even greater, right to the title *brāhmaṇa* as priest had, for it appears that it was originally not birth but celibacy (*brahmacarya*) which could make a person a *brāhmaṇa*; the neo-Brahmanic concept of *brāhmaṇa* is not earlier than the Buddhist or Jaina concept of *brāhmaṇa*.

¹⁴⁸ Nāgapriya 2004; Nakamura 1987:57f. Cf. Shulman 2012:385f.

¹⁴⁹ Suttanipāta 816, 820–821.

¹⁵⁰ Salomon 2000:38f. It diverges from the Pali version from verse 6 onwards.

¹⁵¹ Jayawickrama 1977:31; Salomon 2000:14–19.

¹⁵² *khaggavisāṇakappo* can also be translated 'following the habit/manner (Sanskrit *kalpa*) of the rhinoceros' (Caillat 2003:38). It is debated among scholars how one should translate the refrain (see Jayawickrama 1977:22–23; Wright 2001:3; Jones 2014; differently Norman 1996).

¹⁵³ Suttanipāta 72, 166, 416.

the solitary (senior male) elephant who has left the herd. ¹⁵⁴ The lion and the elephant are connected to royal and heroic imagery, as in this stanza from the *Dhammapada*: if one does not find a worthy companion,

one should wander alone [eka- \sqrt{CAR}], like a king who has renounced the conquered realm, or like an elephant in the elephant-forest.¹⁵⁵

The same goes for the *Khaggavisāṇasutta*: the renouncer should roam alone, fearless like the lion 'the king of beasts' $(r\bar{a}j\bar{a}mig\bar{a}nam)$, elephant, or rhinoceros: 156

Like an elephant, with a massive back, spotted, noble, who has left the herd, in order to dwell according to his will in the forest,

one should wander alone [eka- \sqrt{CAR}] like the rhinoceros. ¹⁵⁷

Friends, family, and women must be forsaken, ¹⁵⁸ for it is impossible to attain emancipation while enjoying company. ¹⁵⁹ Yet, Richard Salomon argues, the "overall message of the *sutta* is not that one must have no companions at all, but rather that one should choose one's companions very carefully for their moral and spiritual merits." ¹⁶⁰ Toward the end of the *sutta*, friendship (*metta*) is actually praised, but it is the friendliness toward *all* beings, the virtues of equanimity and non-violence, which comes

¹⁵⁴ *Mahābhārata* 12.105.51. The senior male elephant typically lives apart from the herd of female and young elephants.

^{155 ...} rājā va raṭṭhaṃ vijitaṃ pahāya | eko care mātaṅgaraññe va nāgo || (Dhammapada 329); similarly 61, 305, 330, 395; Udāna 4.5; Suttanipāta 46, 53. Gāthā 239 in Pali Jātaka 525 deals with a king who has renounced (pabbajito) and goes away like a solitary elephant (nāgo va ekako carati). Cf. Āryaśūra's Jātakamālā 30.1–4 about an elephant who is roaming alone in the forest, (peaceful) like an ascetic (nāgavane ... ekacaro hastī ... tapasvīva).

¹⁵⁶ Khaggavisāņasutta 71-72.

¹⁵⁷ nāgo va yūthāni vivajjayitvā | sañjātakhandho padumī ulāro | yathābhirantam vihare araññe | eko care khaggavisāṇakappo || (Khaggavisāṇasutta 53) 'Spotted' (padumin-) probably refers to the partial loss of pigmentation on senior elephants. Cf. Shulman 2012:390.

¹⁵⁸ Khaggavisāṇasutta 35-38, 41, 43, 49, 60.

¹⁵⁹ samgaņikāra-, Khaggavisāņasutta 54.

¹⁶⁰ Salomon 2000:7; Khaggavisāṇasutta 45; cf. 47, 57.

from *detachment*, not the friendship that means attachment to another person. If it is not possible to find an exceptionally noble companion one should roam about in solitude, like a king who has renounced his kingdom, ¹⁶¹ which reflects a recurrent motif in ancient Indian literature: the king who gives up his throne in order to seek *mokṣa*. Royal imagery may also hide behind verse 42: 'he who is in the four directions' (*cātuddisa*) refers to one who advances in all directions of space – the renouncer who is free to roam as he pleases, like the rhinoceros – but it could also reflect the Vedic ideal of the king as a conqueror of the four directions of space (*digvijaya*):

(At home in) all directions [of space], unhindered anywhere, being satisfied with one thing or another, a bearer of dangers, fearless, one should wander alone [$eka-\sqrt{CAR}$] like the rhinoceros. ¹⁶²

Gāthā 48 of the Khaggavisāṇasutta uses the delicate simile of two bracelets, clashing against each other:¹⁶³ when a girl wears more than one bracelet they clash and make noise as she moves her arm, whereas the single bracelet remains quiet. This signifies that company should be avoided, as it leads to unnecessary talk and disturbances. The gāthā belongs to a set of verses known from the Pali Jātaka 408, which deals with four royal, pre-Śākyamuni paccekabuddhas, who realise the impermanence of everything in the world and renounce it.¹⁶⁴ According to Dhivan Jones, the existence of the Khaggavisāṇasutta in Pali, Gandhari, and Buddhist Hybrid-Sanskrit indicates its popularity among bhikkhus, but "the attribution from early times of the rhinoceros stanzas to the

¹⁶¹ Khaggavisāņasutta 46, as in Dhammapada 329 above.

¹⁶² cātuddiso appaṭigho ca hoti | santussamāno itarītarena | parissayānaṃ sahitā achambhī | eko care khaggavisāṇakappo || (Khaggavisāṇasutta 42, translation by Salomon 2000:174–175)

¹⁶³ disvā suvaṇṇassa pabhassarāni | kammāraputtena suniṭṭhitāni | saṃg-haṭṭamānāni duve bhujasmiṃ | eko care khaggavisāṇakappo || "Having seen the two golden (bracelets), brilliant, well-made by the smith's son, clashing against each other on the arm, one should wander alone like the rhinoceros." (Khaggavisāṇasutta 48)

¹⁶⁴ Norman 1983:82; Salomon 2000:8–9; cf. *Mahāvastu* 1.301. On the debated term and concept of *paccekabuddha* see, for example, Anālayo 2010.

paccekabuddhas [who lived long ago], evident in the Mahāvastu as well as in the Apadāna and Cūlaniddesa [Pali commentary], suggests that the solitary lifestyle recommended by the stanzas seemed to the early Buddhists not to be an ideal to which they could practically aspire."¹⁶⁵

Finally, one can mention the *Munisutta* (*Suttanipāta* 207–221), which characterises the *muni* as a solitary wanderer and emphasises the necessity of leaving domestic life, echoing the sentiment of the Vedic Song of the Wanderer. ¹⁶⁶ There are similar passages in the *Moneyyasutta* (*Suttanipāta* 699–723), the *Arindamajātaka* of the *Mahāvastu*, ¹⁶⁷ Āryaśūra's *Jātakamālā*, ¹⁶⁸ and the *Theragāthās*. The latter include, for example, a stanza attributed to Sītavaniya praising the solitary forest mendicant, ¹⁶⁹ as well as verses attributed to Tissakumāra Ekavihāriya 'lone-dweller'. The latter describe the eremitical, sylvan life as nothing but pleasant and peaceful¹⁷⁰ – bear in mind the lyrical element in some *Theragāthās* – not harsh and physically painful as in the more realistic *Uttarajjhayaṇa* (15.4) and *Khaggavisāṇasutta* (52).

Many of the verses in the Pali texts mentioned here are not distinctly Buddhist. They present an archaic type of premonastic, pre-sectarian ascetic poetry, reminiscent of the Song of the Wanderer.

¹⁶⁵ Jones 2014:176.

¹⁶⁶ Suttanipāta 207–208, 213; cf. 821, Udāna 3.9; Mahābhārata 12.237.22 (munim ekacaraṃ), 12.316.23–24 (ekacaryārataḥ ... eko ramate muniḥ); Shulman 2012:392f.

¹⁶⁷ When asked by king Arindama about the ascetic life, the *paccekabuddha* Sronaka replied: "O king, what is a kingdom to a man who fares all alone [*ekasya carato*]? This is the first blessing of the poor, homelss monk [*adhanasya anāgārasya bhikṣuṇo*]." (*Mahāvastu 3.452*, translation by Jones 1943) The story is based on the Pali *Sonakajātaka* (number 529).

¹⁶⁸ Jātakamālā 21.11–12: "In cremation-grounds, deserted areas, mountains, or forests teeming with fierce wild animals, abandoning their houses, ascetics dwell wherever they are at sunset. Intent on meditation and constantly wandering alone [ekacarāś], they withdraw from the sight of women..." (translation based on Meiland 2009)

¹⁶⁹ Theragāthā 6; cf. 95 and 245.

¹⁷⁰ Theragāthā 537-540 and 543-544.

VI. How much of this reflects the historical reality?

Now, the reader may wonder how much of all this high talk of living in solitude and walking constantly reflects historical reality? This is not easy to determine. We know that there were no monasteries in India when the Buddhist and Jaina ascetic orders were formed, and the texts describe how Śākyamuni, Mahāvīra, and their disciples visited and taught in various parks and similar localities. The When we acknowledge the similarities between the Pali/Prakrit gāthās/gāhās and the Song of the Wanderer, it seems probable that by the mid 1st millennium BCE the ideal of the solitary wandering ascetic was already firmly established. From this time, especially towards the end of the 1st millennium BCE, various ascetic groups (Buddhist, Jaina, Brahmanic) shared this ideal.

Both Śākyamuni and Mahāvīra organized their disciples in orders – perhaps because, as Stanley Tambiah writes, the personal quest of the renouncer was thought to be best undertaken in a community of like-minded.¹⁷² In a monastic environment it becomes necessary to find a space where one can be alone – if not physically then at least mentally and spiritually. *Viveka* 'seclusion' is highly esteemed in early Buddhist texts.¹⁷³ Śākyamuni differentiated between physical/outer and spiritual/inner solitude, the latter being more important, whereas the former could be realized temporarily.¹⁷⁴ "Canonical texts describe monks who had not reached the stage of Arahant, as well as great disciples and the Buddha himself, living alone at times, or with one, two or a few companions".¹⁷⁵

The solitary wandering mendicant clearly contrasts with the *bhikhu* who stays permanently at a monastery. The contrast is

¹⁷¹ Shiraishi 1996:150–158; Pieruccini 2018.

¹⁷² Tambiah 1981.

¹⁷³ Anālayo 2009. Cf. Dhammapada 205, Suttanipāta 257.

¹⁷⁴ Wijayaratna 1990:111–117. Physical seclusion forms the basis for mental seclusion (*citta-viveka*), but the highest is seclusion from defilements, which is reached in final liberation (Anālayo 2009; cf. Hudson 1976).

¹⁷⁵ Wijayaratna 1990:111; cf. *Mahāvagga* 1.12 (Śākyamuni in solitary secluded meditation, *rahogata- paṭisallīna-*); Dutt 1924:110f.; Shiraishi 1996:158–159, 162, 166, 191–192 (*eka, ekavihārī, vijanavāta, vivitta*). Śākyamuni is said to have regularly gone into seclusion, for as long as up to 3 months (Anālayo 2009).

also stark between ascetic *gāthā*-poetry and Buddhist monastic literature, which presents the monk as "caught in a web of social and ritual obligations".¹⁷⁶ The domestication of monks is partly related to the influence of Buddhist lay people, who seek the merit that comes from supporting monks; for it is in the laity's interest that monks are easily accessible in permanently settled communities.¹⁷⁷ Daniel Boucher describes domestication and ascetic reform as a recurring pattern in monastic culture; the solitary or "eremitical" ideal never loses its attractiveness for new generations of ascetics:

Buddhist reclusion has long struggled between two poles: the untamed renunciant on the outermost fringes of human civilization, an ascetic who earned his reputation from years of austerity; and the domesticated monk, sedentary and respectable, perhaps scholarly, but more often a ritual specialist attuned to the needs of the laity. These two poles, of course, are essentially coterminous with Weber's charismatic and bureaucratic modes of leadership. ... [I]t was the very success of wilderness-dwelling monks in acquiring patronage that eventually compromised this ascetic thrust. This dialectic – reform, domestication, and renewed reform – is a recurring pattern in monastic culture everywhere. 178

It seems highly likely that the mendicant ideal, expressed in the *gāthā*s I have presented, reflects the real-life conditions of many ascetics around the mid rst millennium BCE: they were wandering about alone or in small groups, from place to place, except during the rainy season. The earliest Buddhist order has been described as "a dispersed body of wandering hermits".¹⁷⁹ We should bear in mind that the ascetic poetry, although expressing high ideals, ¹⁸⁰ discards poetic refinery and imagination; the *gāthā*s

¹⁷⁶ Lopez 2007:33.

¹⁷⁷ Bailey & Mabbett 2003:10.

¹⁷⁸ Boucher 2011:218–219. See Carrithers 1983 (on Singhalese monks); Prebish 1995 (on the sylvan renunciate ideal); Bailey & Mabbett 2003:87, 178–179 (on Thai monks).

¹⁷⁹ Dutt 1924:183; similarly Nakamura 1987:59; Bronkhorst 1993:99–100; Shiraishi 1996:160; Bailey & Mabbett 2003:165–168.

¹⁸⁰ Shulman sees the *Khaggavisāṇasutta* not as "an historical statement, but an idealized picture" of what "the author(s) felt the life of a recluse could be like." (2012:391)

are not intended to be mere words, but to function as guidance and self-instruction for one seeking the highest goal. Moreover, realistic botanical and faunal references in the verses, as well as references to vagabond-professions, suggest direct experience of life on road and trail. It is not difficult to imagine the easily memorized verses of the Song of the Wanderer, or the *Khaggavisāṇasutta*, being recited by ascetics while on the move.

As time went by, the ideal of solitary wandering became increasingly distanced from the real-life of Buddhist monks, as monasteries were built, and was viewed with nostalgia or projected onto a pre-Śākvamuni age of paccekabuddhas. Yet, from time to time, there appeared reformers who reacted against the domestication of the ascetic order and sought a more eremitical or mobile way of life. To some extent, the Brahmanic and Jaina renouncer-traditions were more successful than the Buddhist one in keeping alive itinerancy.181 Even today, Indian sādhus spend much of their life on the road; they tend to spend their first period as sādhus travelling, then settle down at some pilgrimage-site and form congregations, rather than live as solitary wanderers. 182 Within Jainism, a more settled lifestyle developed around temple-complexes, while sylvan mendicants (vanavāsīs) continued to be wanderers. Today, although Mahāvīra's solitary lifestyle is seen as ideal, bhikkhus usually live in groups (ganas). Except during the rainy season, when they stay at shelters, Jaina mendicants walk tirelessly from one locality to another. 183

VII. Final words

One can conclude that there are profound similarities between late Vedic, neo-Brahmanic, early Jaina, and early Buddhist $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ -poetry on the benefits of wandering alone ($eka + \sqrt{CAR}$). Not only would Indra's "Song of the Wanderer" fit fairly well among the $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ s of the *Suttanipāta* or the *Uttarajjhayaṇa*, but

¹⁸¹ Olivelle states that in the medieval period the ideal of ceaseless wandering was maintained, even when most ascetics resided in monasteries (1995:18; 2007:177–178).

¹⁸² Hausner 2007:95-107.

¹⁸³ Caillat 1989:101.

the *role* of Indra, too, brings to mind the Buddhist and Jaina Indra (Śakra, Sakka, sometimes in the disguise of a *brāhmaṇa*), as tester, friend, even worshipper, of ascetics. Since Rohita is a *kṣatriya* on the threshold of adulthood, it is only fitting that the prototypical *kṣatriya* Indra establishes a relationship with him, but in addition to that we should recognize the common traits of warrior and ascetic – for, as we have seen, already in the early Vedic period Indra is associated with the lone hero and the ascetic. The common traits here are heroic conquest (exertion on the battlefield and in self-overcoming) and solar attributes.

The Sun functions as prototype of both the solitary wanderer/ ascetic and the hero/ruler. The spatial movement of the Sun signifies conquest and tireless exertion. The attributes of king and hero are projected on the renouncer as having unlimited spatial freedom and as spiritual conqueror (jina, $v\bar{t}ra$). The concept of digvijaya or 'conquest of the quarters of space' derives from the Vedic royal ritual, in which a tour in the corners of the land is undertaken by the victorious $k\bar{s}atriya$. In traditional hagiographies of \bar{A} di-Śańkarācārya, this term is applied to his metaphysical conquest of India's four corners, as renouncer and scholar, which demonstrates the "complementarity of royal and ascetic paradigms in traditional India." 185

The physical solitude of the ideal renouncer, and his detachment from society – though dependent on it for his bodily sustenance – mirrors his ultimate goal: nirvāṇa, mokṣa, also known as kaivalya, which translates as 'absolute isolation'. 186 This goal can only be attained individually, not collectively. 187 Paradoxical though it may seem, the homeless, wandering renouncer, engaged in nearly constant movement in the spatial world, is precisely the person who is supposed to have attained a state of

¹⁸⁴ Olivelle 2007:186. There is a *śloka* attributed to Bhartṛhari which compares a solitary hero, who conquers all land touched by his feet, with the Sun, whose rays reach the entire Earth (*Nītiśataka* 108 in Kāle & Gurjar; Miscellaneous 15 in Gopalachariar).

¹⁸⁵ Bader 2000:xii, 139, 169; cf. Burghart 1983:376–378. On similar Jaina views see Dundas 1991. The celibate is attributed freedom of movement in all worlds in *Chāndogyopaniṣad* 8.4.3, 8.5.4.

¹⁸⁶ Compare Latin absolūtus 'absolute, complete, freed, independent'.

¹⁸⁷ Sūyagaḍa (1.)2.3.16–17, (1.)10.12, (1.)13.18; Schneider 1960.

true rest. His course is "trackless". The opposite of the sagely renouncer is the ignorant man who feels at home in this world; he too 'wanders about' (\sqrt{BHRAM}), but in circles "bound, revolving like a wheel (in movement)" in "the circular path of birth and death" unable, the texts assert, to make the transsamsāric leap.

References

Texts

Aitareyabrāhmaṇa: Aufrecht, Theodor. 1879. Das Aitareyabrāhmaṇa.

Haug, Martin. 1863. Aitareya Brāhmaṇam of the Rigveda... Edited, Translated and Explained... I. Sanscrit Text... Bombay: Government Central Book Depôt / London: Trübner & Co.

Āyāra: Yuvācārya Mahāprajna & Muni Mahendra Kumār. 1981. Āyāro (Ācārāṅga Sūtra), the First Aṅga Āgama (Canonical Text) of the Jainas: Text, Transl., Commentary [based on the text of Muni Śrī Nathmaljī]. Jain Canonical Text Series 1. New Delhi: Today and Tomorrow's Printers and Publishers.

¹⁸⁸ The ascetic's course (*gati-, pada-*) is trackless, invisible (*na drśyeta*), like that of birds in the air and fish in the sea (*Mahābhārata* 12.154.28, 12.174.19, 12.231.24). Olivelle notes that the term *gati* can refer to the final goal, liberation, but also to "the way an ascetic is expected to go about in the world. He leaves no trail. He travels unnoticed and without a destination." (2012:98)

¹⁸⁹ *bhogī bhamai saṃsāre* | *abhogī vippamuccai* || "The voluptuary/enjoyer wanders about in *saṃsāra*; the non-enjoyer is liberated." (*Uttarajjhayaṇa* 25.41).

¹⁹⁰ baddho bhramati cakravat (Mahābhārata 12.287.19; similarly 12.316.57: paribhramati samsāram cakravad), referring to one who is ignorant of mokṣadharma. Vairāgyaśataka of Bhartṛhari, verse 70 (Gopalachariar): You roam (bhramasi), from the lowest region to the highest, but is still ignorant of bráhman which leads to nirvṛtti ('cessation, rest, abstaining from worldly acts'). In verse 39 the ordinary man is said to wander around (paribhramati) in saṃsāra. The use of \sqrt{BHRAM} in these verses can mean 'to wander about', but also 'to circulate', and 'to move unsteadily, err, confuse' (cf. Āryaśūra's Jātakamālā 6.34–38).

¹⁹¹ jāi-maraṇassa vaḍumagaṃ (Āyāra 5.[6.]122), which the muṇi transcends.

- Bhartrhari: Kāle, M. R. & Gurjar, M. B. 1898. The Nītiśataka and Vairāgyaśataka of Bhartrhari: Edited with Notes and an English Translation. Bombay: Gopāl Nārāyan & Co.
- Gopalachariar, A. V. 1954. Bhartrihari's Sringara Sataka and Vairagya Sataka with Sanskrit Commentary, English Notes, Translation and Introduction. Madras: V. Ramaswamy Sastrulu & Sons.
- Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum: Hultzsch, E. 1925. Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum. I: Inscriptions of Asoka. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Dhammapada: Norman, K.R. & von Hinüber, O. 1994. Dhammapada: Edited. Oxford: Pali Text Society
- Dīghanikāya: Rhys-Davids, D. & Carpenter, E. 1890. Dīghanikāya: Edited. London: Pali Text Society.
- Jātaka: Fausbøll, V. 1877 [1962]. The Jātaka together with its Commentary... Edited in the Original Pāli. London: Pali Text Society.
- Jātakamālā of Āryaśūra: Meiland, Justin. 2009. Garland of the Buddha's Past Lives, by Aryashura. Vol. II: Translated [with Text]. Clay Sanskrit Library. New York: New York University Press.
- Jaiminīyabrāhmana: Bodewitz, H. W. 1973. Jaiminīya Brāhmana 1, 1-65: Translation and Commentary with a Study 'Agnihotra and Prāṇāgnihotra'. Leiden: Brill.
- Jinacaritta (= Book 1 of "Kalpasūtra"): Jacobi, Hermann. 1879. The Kalpasûtra of Bhadrabâhu: Edited with an Introduction, Notes and... Glossary. Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes 7 (1). Leipzig: F. A. Brockhaus.
- ——— 1884 [1980]. Jaina Sûtras I: Translated... Sacred Books of the East 22. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Stevenson, J. 1848. The Kalpa Sútra and Nava Tatva, two Works Illustrative of the Jain Religion and Philosophy: Translated from the Mágadhí. With an Appendix containing Remarks on the Language of the Original. London: Oriental Translation Fund of Great Britain.
- Mahābhārata, Bombay edition: [Board of scholars.] VS 2013-2015. Śrīman Mahābhāratam. I–IV. Gorakhpur: Gita Press.

- Mahābhārata, Critical edition: Sukthankar, Vishnu S. *et alii*. 1933–1966. *The Mahābhārata: For the First Time Critically Edited. I–XIX*. Poona: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute.
- Mahāvagga: Oldenberg, Hermann. 1879. *The Vinaya Pitakam... Edited. I: The Mahāvagga*. London: Williams and Norgate.
- Mahāvastu: Jones, J. 1943. Mahāvastu: Edited. London: Pali Text Society.
- Manusmṛti: Olivelle, Patrick. 2005. Manu's Code of Law: A Critical Edition and Translation... Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ovavāiya: Leumann, Ernst. 1883. Das Aupapâtika Sûtra, erstes Upânga der Jaina. 1: Einleitung, Text und Glossar. Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, DMG 7 (2). Leipzig.
- Lalwani, Ganesh & Ramesh Muni Shastri & K. C. Lalwani. 1988. *Uvavāiya Suttam (Aupapātika Sūtraṃ)*. [Text with Hindi and English Transl.] Prakrit Bharati Publication 50. Jaipur: Prakrit Bharati Academy.
- Pañcamāśramavidhi: See Olivelle 2012 below.
- *Rgvedasaṃhitā*: Aufrecht, Theodor. 1877. *Die Hymnen des Rigveda*. *I–II*. Zweite Auflage. Bonn: Adolph Marcus.
- Śāṅkhāyanaśrautasūtra: Tokunaga, Muneo. 1995. "Śāṅkhāyana Śrautasūtra". GRETIL. Electronic text, based on the edition by A. Hillebrandt, Calcutta 1885–1899, Bibliotheca Indica 99.
- Satapathabrāhmaṇa-Mādhyandina: Weber, Albecht. 1855. The Çatapatha-Brâhmaṇa in the Mâdhyandina-Çâkhâ...: Edited. Berlin: Ferd. Dümmler's Verlagsbuchhandlung / London: Williams & Norgate.
- Saunakīyasamhitā: Roth, R. & Whitney, W. D. 1924 [1966]. Atharva Veda Sanhita. Dritte unveränderte Auflage... Bonn: Ferd. Dümmlers Verlag.
- Griffith, Ralph. 1895–1896. *Hymns of the Atharva-Veda: Translated. I–II*. Benares: Lazarus & Co.
- Sūyagaḍa: Yamasaki, M. & Ousaka, Y. 1997. Sūyagaḍa: Version 1.2.
 Romanised text of the critical ed. by P. L. Vaidya (Sūyagaḍam, Poona 1928), metre analysis, and indexes.

- Jacobi, Hermann. 1895 [1980]. Jaina Sûtras II: Translated... Sacred Books of the East 45. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Suttanipāta: Andersen, D. & Smith, H. 1913. Sutta-Nipāta: Edited. London: Pali Text Society.
- Taittirīyabrāhmana: Dumont, Paul-Emil. 1948. The Horse-Sacrifice in the Taittiriva-Brāhmana: The Eighth and Ninth Prapāthakas of the Third Kānda of the Taittirīya-Brāhmaṇa with Translation. Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society 92 (6), 447-503.
- Theragāthā: Oldenberg, Hermann & Pischel, Richard. 1883 [1966]. The Thera- and Therî-Gâthâ...: Edited. 2nd ed. with appendices by K. R. Norman & L. Alsdorf 1966. London: Pali Text Society.
- Udāna: Steinthal, Paul. 1885 [1948]. Udāna: Edited. London: Pali Text Society.
- *Uttarajjhayana*: Charpentier, Jarl. 1921–1922. *The Uttarādhyayanasūtra*: Edited. I-II. Archives D'Études Orientales 18:1-2. Leipzig: Harassowitz.
- Vājasaneyisamhitā: [sine nomine]. 1957. Vājasaneyi-samhitā. Pārdī.
- Yatidharmasamuccaya: Olivelle, Patrick. 1995. Rules and Regulations of Brahmanical Asceticism, Yatidharmasamuccaya of Yādava Prakāśa: Edited and Translated. New York: State University of New York Press

Literature

- Alsdorf, Ludwig. 1963. "Uttarajjhāyā Studies". In: Indo-Iranian Journal 6, 110-136.
- Anālayo, Bhikkhu. 2009. "Viveka". In: Weeraratne, W. G. (ed.), Encyclopaedia of Buddhism, 720-722.
- —— 2010. "Paccekabuddhas in the Isigili-sutta and its Ekottarikaāgama Parallel". In: Canadian Journal of Buddhist Studies 6, 5–36.
- Ānandajoti, Bhikkhu. 2013. "An Outline of the Metres in the Pāļi Canon". Version 3.6. (An earlier version was published in *Indologica Taurinensia* 36, 2000.)
- Bader, Jonathan. 2000. Conquest of the Four Quarters: Traditional Accounts of the Life of Śankara. New Delhi: Aditya Prakashan.

- Bailey, Greg & Mabbett, Ian. 2003. *The Sociology of Early Buddhism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Boucher, Daniel. 2011. "Sacrifice and Asceticism in Early Mahāyāna Buddhism". In: Lindquist, S. (ed.), *Religion and Identity in South Asia and Beyond*, London: Anthem Press, 197–223.
- Bronkhorst, Johannes. 1993 [2000]. *The Two Traditions of Meditation in Ancient India*. 2nd ed. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Burghart, Richard. 1983. "Wandering Ascetics of the Rāmānandī Sect". In: *History of Religions* 22 (4), 361–380.
- Caillat, Colette. 1989. "Jainism". In: Kitagawa, J. (ed.), *The Religious Traditions of Asia*, London: Routledge, 97–109.
- ——2003. "Gleanings from a Comparative Reading of Early Canonical Buddhist and Jaina Texts". In: *Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies* 26 (2), 25–50.
- Carrithers, Michael. 1983. The Forest Monks of Sri Lanka: An Anthropological and Historical Study. Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Charpentier, Jarl. 1910. "Zu Uttarajjhayana XXV". In: Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes 24, 62–69.
- Dore, Moreno. 2015. "The Ekavrātya, Indra and the Sun". In: Pontillo, T. et alii (eds.), The Volatile World of Sovereignty: The Vrātya Problem and Kingship in South Asia, New Delhi: D.K. Printworld, 33–64.
- Dundas, Paul. 1991. "The Digambara Jain Warrior". In: Carrithers, M. & Humphrey, C. (eds.), *The Assembly of Listeners: Jains in Society*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 169–186.
- Dutt, Sukumar. 1924. Early Buddhist Monachism, 600–100 BC. London: Keagan Paul.
- Falk, Harry. 1984. "Die Legede von Sunaḥsepa vor ihrem rituellen Hintergrund". In: Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 134 (1), 115–135.
- Hausner, Sondra. 2007. Wandering with Sadhus: Ascetics in the Hindu Himalayas. Bloomington & Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.
- Horsch, Paul. 1966. *Die vedische Gāthā- und Śloka-Literatur*. Bern: Francke Verlag.

- Hudson, Malcolm. 1976. "Note on Solitude/Inwardness". In: Pali Buddhist Review 1 (1), 103-104.
- Ireland, John D. 1997. Vangīsa: An Early Buddhist Poet. Buddhist Publication Society. The Wheel Publication 417. [Access to Insight edition 2005.]
- Javawickrama, N.A. 1977. "A Critical Analysis of the Suttanipāta: Uraga, Khaggavisāna and Muni Suttas". In: Pali Buddhist Review 2.1, 14-41.
- Jones, Dhivan Thomas. 2014. "Like the Rhinoceros, or Like Its Horn? The Problem of Khaggavisāna Revisited". In: Buddhist Studies Review 31 (2), 165-178.
- Lienhard, Siegfried. 1984a. A History of Classical Poetry: Sanskrit Pali – Prakrit. A History of Indian Literature 3:1. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz
- Lopez, Donald S., Jr. 2007 [1995]. "Introduction". In: Lopez, D. (ed.), Buddhism in Practice. Abridged edition. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 3–34.
- McGovern, Nathan. 2019. The Snake and the Mongoose: The Emergence of Identity in Early Indian Religion. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Nāgapriya, Dharmacāri. 2004. "Pre-Doctrinal Buddhism in the Sutta-Nipāta: A Psychological Portrait of the Early Buddhist Saint". Western Buddhist Review 4: http://www.westernbuddhistreview .com/vol4/Early%20Buddhist%20Saint2.pdf
- Nakamura, Hajime. 1983. "Common Elements in Early Jain and Buddhist Literature". In: Indologica Taurinensia 9 (Proceedings of the International Symposium on Jaina Canonical and Narrative Literature, Strasbourg 1981), 303-330.
- 1987. *Indian Buddhism*. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Norman, K.R. 1983. Pāli Literature. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- ——— 1996. "Solitary as a Rhinoceros Horn". In: Buddhist Studies Review 13 (2), 133-142.
- Oberlies, Thomas. 1997. "Veda-Schüler, Bettelasketen Mönche: Zur 'Vorgeschichte' der buddhistischen Ordensregeln". Vortragsmanuskript, Universität Hamburg.

- Olivelle, Patrick. 1974. The Origin and the Early Development of Buddhist Monachism. Colombo: Gunasena.
- In: Byrski, M.K. & Nowakowska, M. et alii (eds.). Theatrum Mirabiliorum Indiae Orientalis: A Volume to Celebrate the 70th Birthday of Professor Maria K. Byrski. Warzawa: Dom Wydawniczy Elipsa, 173–187.
- —— 2012. Ascetics and Brahmins: Studies in Ideologies and Institutions. London: Anthem Press.
- Pieruccini, Cinzia. 2018. Travelling Śākyamuni, Groves, Reserves and Orchards". In: Stasik, Danuta & Trynkowska, Anna (eds.). 2018. *Journeys and Travellers in Indian Literature and Art. I–II.* Warzaw: Elipsa, 62–77.
- Prebish, Charles. 1995. "Ideal Types in Indian Buddhism: A New Paradigm". In: *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 115 (4), 651–666.
- Rau, Wilhelm. 1963. "Bemerkungen und nicht-Buddhistische Sanskrit-Parallelen zum Pāli-Dhammapada". In: Vogel (ed.), Jñānamuktāvalī: Commemoration Volume in Honour of Johannes Nobel, International Academy of Indian Culture, 159–175.
- Revire, Nicolas. 2017. "Solar Symbolism in Early Buddhist Literature". In: *Berliner Indologische Studien* 23, 143–156.
- Salomon, Richard. 2000. *A Gāndhārī Version of the Rhinoceros Sūtra: British Library Kharoṣṭhī Fragment 5B*. With a contribution by Andrew Glass. Seattle & London: University of Washington Press.
- Schneider, Ulrich. 1960. "Der individualistische Zug im indischen Denken". In: Waldschmidt, Ernst (ed.), *Indologen-Tagung* 1959: *Verhandlungen der Indologischen Arbeitstagung in Essen-Bredeney, Villa Hügel* 13.-15. *Juli* 1959, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 244–251.
- Shiraishi, Ryokai. 1996. *Asceticism in Buddhism and Brahmanism:* A Comparative Study. Tring (UK): Institute of Buddhist Studies.
- Shulman, Eviatar. 2012. "Early Buddhist Imagination: The Atthakavagga as Buddhist Poetry". In: *Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies* 35 (1–2), 363–411.

- Tambiah, Stanley J. 1981. "The Renouncer's Individuality and Community". In: Contributions to Indian Sociology 15, 299–320.
- Tatia, Nathmal & Kumar, Muni Mahendra. 1981. *Aspects of Jaina Monasticism*. Today and Tomorrow's Printers and Publishers.
- Upali Sramon. 2011. "Elements of a Buddhist Literary Theory as Depicted in Pali Literature". In: *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Sri Lanka*, New Series 57, 19–39.
- Weller, Friedrich. 1956. "Die Legende von Sunahsepa im Aitareyabrāhmaṇa und Śāṅkhāyana-śrautasūtra". In: Berichte über die Verhandlungen der sächsischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig. Band 102, Philol.-Hist. Klasse, Heft 2. Berlin.
- Wijayaratna, Mohan. 1990. *Buddhist Monastic Life*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wright, J.C. 2001. "The Gandhari Prakrit Version of the Rhinoceros Sūtra". In: *Anusamdhan* 18, 1–15.
- Zysk, Kenneth. 1998. Asceticism and Healing in Ancient India: Medicine in the Buddhist Monastery. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.

Author Presentations

Kristoffer af Edholm is PhD Student in History of Religions at Stockholm University and has studied Indo-European religions, Vedic ritual, ancient Indian kingship, asceticism, and renunciation. Among his publications we find: "Royal Splendour in the Waters: Vedic Śrī- and Avestan Xvarənah-" (*Indo-Iranian Journal* 60, 2017). [ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5786-1421]

Peter Jackson Rova is Professor in History of Religions at Stockholm University, specializing in the study of Indo-European religions, with particular emphasis on ancient India and Iran, Mediterranean Antiquity, and the pagan Germanic world. Among his publications is the co-edited volume *Philosophy and the End of Sacrifice: Disengaging Ritual in Ancient India and Beyond* (Equinox 2015). [ORCID: https://orcid.org/000-002-0742-6640]

Per Kværne was Professor in History of Religions at the University of Oslo from 1975 until 2012, and has been Visiting Professor at the University of Oxford and at École Pratique des Hautes Études in Paris. Among his published works is: *An Anthology of Buddhist Tantric Songs: A Study of the Caryāgīti* (Universitetsforlaget 1977, White Orchid Press 1986, Orchid Press 2010).

Stefan Larsson is Research Fellow and Senior Lecturer at the University of Gävle. He received his PhD in History of Religions from Stockholm University in 2009. Larsson's research focuses on the non-monastic and practice-oriented aspects of Tibetan Buddhism, particularly as evidenced in Buddhist songs and biographical literature. His publications include: *Crazy for Wisdom: The Making of a Mad Yogin in Fifteenth-Century Tibet* (Brill 2012). [ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1320-7073]

Lars Vargö was Ambassador of Sweden in South Korea 2006–2011 and in Japan 2011–2014. He earned his PhD in Japanese Studies in 1982. Among Vargö's recent books we find *Bashô: Blommornas*, *fåglarnas och månens poet*, which is a complete translation of the poems of Matsuo Bashô into Swedish (Carlsson 2018).

Heinz Werner Wessler is Professor in Indology at Uppsala University. He has published on classical Hinduism, Sikhism, and modern and contemporary literature, for example: "The Grammar of Assi: Kashinath Singh and Globalizing Banaras", in Keul (ed.), Revisiting Benares: Scholarly Pilgrimages to the City of Light (Harrassowitz 2014).

This book consists of seven chapters on the subject of poetry and itinerancy within the religious traditions of India, Tibet, and Japan from ancient to modern times. The chapters look, each from a different angle, at how itinerancy is reflected in religious poetry, what are the purposes of the wanderers' poems or songs, and how the wandering poets relate to local communities, sacred geography, and institutionalized religion. We encounter priest-poets in search of munificent patrons, renouncers and yogins who sing about the bliss and hardship of wandering alone in the wilderness, Hindu pilgrims and opponents of pilgrimage, antinomian Buddhist-Tantric poets from Bengal, and the originator of the haiku. We are led along roads travelled by many, as well as paths tread by few.



